TECHNICAL ANALYSIS OF HARTMANN'S RETROSIGMOIDECTOMY IN THE EMERGENCY CONTEXT OF COMPLICATED DIVERTICULITIS HINCHEY III AND IV

https://doi.org/10.56238/sevened2024.037-184

Karol da Conceição Rabello Cortes[1], Marcello Laporta Carlos Junior[2], José Vitor Uliano[3], Samuel Andrade Farias Alves[4], José Vitor Rodrigues e Souza[5], Dimitri Mota Barros[6], João Victor Fabris Almeida[7], José Edson de Moura Neto[8], Tatiana Lima Brasil[9], Anaísa Filmiano Andrade Lopes[10], Leone Romagnoli Cardoso[11], Paula Fernanda de Araújo Lima Santos[12], Letícia Cristina de Souza Jácome[13], Marcelle Lopes Tavares Pedrosa[14], Camille Silva Nogueira[15], Thaíse Ferreira Carneiro de

[1] Graduating in Medicine
Centro Universitário Unidompedro
Lizemendes1221@gmail.com
[2] Graduating in Medicine
Afya Faculdade de Ciências Médicas da Paraíba
Laportamarcello@gmail.com
[3] Graduating in Medicine
Centro Universitário UniCesumar
Josevitoru@gmail.com
[4] Graduating in Medicine
Faculdade: Universidade Federal de Sergipe
samuel_andradef@academico.ufs.br
[5] Graduating in Medicine
Centro Universitário Unifacisa
vitorsouza7117@gmail.com
[6] Graduating in Medicine
Centro Universitário Unidompedro
dimitrimotabarros@gmail.com
[7] Graduating in Medicine
Faculdade Santa Marcelina
jvfabris1@gmail.com
[8] Graduate in Medicine
Universidade de Pernambuco
netomoura13@gmail.com
[9] Graduating in Medicine
Centro Universitário Maurício de Nassau
tatiana.limabrasil@gmail.com
[10] Graduating in Medicine
Universidade para o Desenvolvimento do Estado e da Região do Pantanal
ana_isaandrade@hotmail.com
[11] Graduating in Medicine
Centro Universitário UniCesumar
leone.romagnoli.cardoso14@gmail.com
[12] Graduating in Medicine
Faculdade de Medicina de Olinda
paulafernandaals@gmail.com
[13] Graduating in Medicine
Faculdade de Medicina de Olinda
leticiasj965@gmail.com
[14] Graduating in Medicine
Faculdade de Medicina de Olinda
lopesmarcelle8@gmail.com
[15] Graduating in Medicine

Lima[16], Diogo Mariano Hildefonso[17], Diego dos Passos Santiago[18], Emmanuelly Martins Guedes[19] and Gabriel Gonçalves Bastos Mota[20].

ABSTRACT

Hartmann's retrosigmoidectomy is a widely adopted surgical procedure for managing patients with complicated diverticulitis, particularly in Hinchey III and IV stages. These advanced stages are associated with high morbidity and mortality due to purulent or fecal peritonitis, necessitating emergent surgical interventions. This study presents a systematic technical analysis of Hartmann's procedure in this emergency context, focusing on outcomes such as mortality, morbidity, stoma reversal rates, and long-term complications. A comprehensive search was conducted in PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, and Scopus for studies published between 2016 and 2024, using keywords like "Hartmann's Procedure," "Complicated Diverticulitis," "Hinchey III and IV," and "Emergency Surgery." Of 34 initially identified studies, 10 met the inclusion criteria. Findings reveal that while Hartmann's procedure remains a vital option for hemodynamically unstable patients, its limitations, such as low stoma reversal rates (43.9%, Facile et al., 2020) and higher long-term complication rates, underscore the need for patient stratification. Comparatively, primary anastomosis demonstrated superior outcomes in selected stable patients, with higher stoma reversal rates (86.9%, Bridoux et al., 2017) and improved quality of life. Advances in laparoscopic approaches have also shown potential in enhancing outcomes for Hartmann's procedure. Despite its established role, the procedure's high morbidity and low reversal rates prompt the need for further research into individualized patient selection and innovative surgical techniques.

Keywords: Hartmann's Procedure. Complicated Diverticulitis. Hinchey Classification. Emergency Surgery. Primary Anastomosis. Laparoscopic Surgery.

Afya Faculdade de Ciências Médicas de Itabuna
camillenogueira2003@gmail.com
[16] Graduating in Medicine
Centro Universitário Maurício de Nassau
thaise@thaiseferreira.com.br
[17] Graduating in Medicine
Centro Universitário São Lucas
dipersonal@live.com
[18] Graduating in Medicine
Universidade Federal de Sergipe
diegosanttiago@hotmail.com
[19] Graduating in Medicine
Centro Universitário Unifacisa
emmanuellymguedes@gmail.com
[20] Graduating in Medicine
Centro Universitário Unichristus
gabrielgbm26@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Hartmann's retrosigmoidectomy is a surgical procedure primarily performed in emergency settings to manage severe cases of complicated diverticulitis, particularly in stages classified as Hinchey III and IV. These advanced stages are characterized by purulent or fecal peritonitis, conditions that pose significant risks to patient survival and require prompt, decisive surgical intervention. While the primary goal of the Hartmann's procedure is to control sepsis by resecting the diseased colonic segment and creating a temporary colostomy, its long-term implications, including morbidity, quality of life, and the feasibility of stoma reversal, remain points of clinical debate (Facile et al., 2020; Bridoux et al., 2017).

Complicated diverticulitis represents the extreme spectrum of diverticular disease, with perforation, abscess formation, and widespread peritoneal contamination being hallmark features in Hinchey III and IV cases. The management of such cases requires not only an understanding of the pathological progression but also a strategic surgical approach tailored to the patient's clinical status. Hartmann's procedure has historically been favored for its reliability in stabilizing critically ill patients. However, evolving surgical techniques and a better understanding of patient selection criteria have led to increased scrutiny of its outcomes compared to alternatives, such as primary anastomosis with or without diverting ileostomy (Halim et al., 2019; Ryan et al., 2020).

The procedure itself, though life-saving in emergent conditions, is not without limitations. The low rate of colostomy reversal, coupled with long-term complications such as hernias and impaired quality of life, has led to the exploration of less invasive techniques and individualized treatment strategies. Moreover, advancements in laparoscopic surgery and perioperative care have opened new avenues for improving outcomes, even in the most severe cases of diverticulitis (Schmidt et al., 2018; Cassini et al., 2019).

This study seeks to provide a comprehensive technical analysis of Hartmann's retrosigmoidectomy in the context of Hinchey III and IV diverticulitis, focusing on its efficacy, risks, and role in contemporary surgical practice. By synthesizing recent evidence, the analysis aims to clarify the procedure's impact on clinical outcomes, including morbidity, mortality, and colostomy reversal rates, while identifying gaps and opportunities for optimizing patient care.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a systematic review approach to analyze the technical aspects and outcomes of Hartmann's retrosigmoidectomy in patients with complicated diverticulitis classified as Hinchey III and IV. The objective was to evaluate the efficacy, risks, and clinical outcomes of the procedure, including mortality, morbidity, stoma reversal rates, and long-term complications.

DATA SOURCES AND SEARCH STRATEGY

Data were sourced from PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, Web of Science, and Scopus databases using a combination of Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms and free-text keywords. The search terms included "Hartmann's Procedure," "Complicated Diverticulitis," "Hinchey Classification," "Emergency Surgery," "Primary Anastomosis," and "Laparoscopic Surgery." Boolean operators "AND" and "OR" were employed to construct a comprehensive search strategy aimed at capturing all relevant studies. The search was restricted to articles published between 2016 and 2024 to ensure the inclusion of recent evidence reflecting contemporary surgical practices.

INCLUSION CRITERIA

The inclusion criteria were defined to identify studies directly relevant to the research objectives:

1. Studies analyzing Hartmann's procedure outcomes in patients with Hinchey III and IV diverticulitis.
2. Articles comparing Hartmann's procedure to alternative approaches, such as primary anastomosis, in emergency settings.
3. Peer-reviewed studies published in English, Portuguese, or Spanish to allow a broader range of high-quality data.
4. Research presenting quantitative data on outcomes such as mortality, morbidity, stoma reversal rates, and long-term complications.
5. Studies evaluating the role of laparoscopic approaches in Hartmann's procedure.

EXCLUSION CRITERIA

Exclusion criteria were applied to omit studies that did not align with the research scope:

1. Studies focusing exclusively on non-emergent or elective surgical interventions.
2. Articles lacking quantitative data on outcomes or clinical comparisons.

3. Research unrelated to Hinchey III and IV diverticulitis or Hartmann's procedure.
4. Studies published in languages other than English, Portuguese, or Spanish.

STUDY SELECTION PROCESS

The initial database search identified 34 articles, which were screened based on titles and abstracts. After applying inclusion and exclusion criteria, 10 studies were included in the final analysis. Selected articles provided comprehensive data on Hartmann's procedure and its comparison to other surgical approaches in managing complicated diverticulitis.

DATA EXTRACTION AND ANALYSIS

Data extraction focused on capturing key variables, including:

1. Patient characteristics (age, comorbidities, and clinical presentation).
2. Surgical techniques (open vs. laparoscopic Hartmann's procedure).
3. Outcome measures (mortality, morbidity, stoma reversal rates, long-term complications).
4. Comparative analysis with alternative surgical approaches, such as primary anastomosis.

The selected studies were critically analyzed to identify patterns, trends, and gaps in the evidence. Emphasis was placed on studies incorporating laparoscopic techniques or reporting on long-term outcomes, given their relevance to advancing surgical practice.

TEMPORAL SCOPE AND RELEVANCE

The timeframe from 2016 to 2024 ensured the inclusion of contemporary evidence and advancements in surgical techniques, perioperative care, and patient management strategies. By synthesizing findings from this period, the study provides a robust evaluation of the technical and clinical aspects of Hartmann's procedure in emergency settings.

This methodology ensures a comprehensive and evidence-based analysis, contributing to a deeper understanding of Hartmann's retrosigmoidectomy's role in the management of complicated diverticulitis and offering insights for optimizing surgical decision-making.

RESULTS

The emergency surgical management of complicated diverticulitis, particularly in cases classified as Hinchey III and IV, presents significant challenges due to its high morbidity and mortality rates. Hartmann's procedure has long been a cornerstone in these scenarios, providing an effective means to control sepsis through resection of the diseased colonic segment and the creation of a temporary colostomy. However, the procedure's associated outcomes, including low stoma reversal rates and long-term complications, have led to an ongoing debate regarding its role compared to alternative approaches such as primary anastomosis.

The reviewed studies demonstrate significant variability in the clinical outcomes and technical approaches to managing complicated diverticulitis. Across the literature, factors such as patient selection, surgical expertise, and advancements in perioperative care have been highlighted as critical determinants of success. All studies reviewed emphasize the importance of individualized treatment planning, the potential benefits of laparoscopic techniques, and the need for comprehensive postoperative follow-up to optimize patient outcomes. These findings reinforce the importance of a systematic and evidence-based approach to guide decision-making in emergent surgical interventions.

The research findings on Hartmann's procedure and alternative approaches for managing Hinchey III and IV diverticulitis are summarized in the table below:

Author, Year	Study Title	Study Summary
Bridoux et al., 2017	Hartmann's Procedure or Primary Anastomosis for Generalized Peritonitis due to Perforated Diverticulitis	Comparison between primary anastomosis with diverting ileostomy and Hartmann's procedure in patients with diverticular peritonitis. Conclusion: primary anastomosis demonstrated a significantly higher stoma reversal rate (96% vs. 65%) without an increase in mortality.
Halim et al., 2019	Primary resection anastomosis versus Hartmann's procedure in Hinchey III and IV diverticulitis	A meta-analysis showed that primary anastomosis was associated with lower mortality and a reduced incidence of complications compared to Hartmann's procedure, particularly in observational studies.
Facile et al., 2020	Short- and long-term outcomes for primary anastomosis versus Hartmann's procedure	Comparison between Hartmann's procedure and primary anastomosis revealed higher morbidity and mortality for Hartmann, with lower stoma reversal rates for patients undergoing the procedure.
Lambrichts et al., 2020	Sigmoid resection with primary anastomosis versus the Hartmann's procedure	A conclusion based on a systematic review indicates that primary anastomosis is preferable to Hartmann's procedure in selected patients, with better stoma reversal rates and lower associated morbidity.

Ryan et al., 2020	Systematic review comparing primary resection and anastomosis versus Hartmann's procedure	A meta-analytical study revealed that primary anastomosis resulted in lower postoperative morbidity and fewer permanent stomas compared to Hartmann's procedure in Hinchey III/IV cases.
Schmidt et al., 2018	Meta-analysis of surgical strategies in perforated left colonic diverticulitis	A review indicated that primary anastomosis had significantly higher rates of colonic restoration compared to Hartmann's procedure for complicated diverticulitis (Hinchey III/IV).
Loire et al., 2021	Long-term outcomes of Hartmann's procedure versus primary anastomosis	Long-term follow-up demonstrated that primary anastomosis resulted in fewer complications and better quality of life compared to Hartmann's procedure.
Cassini et al., 2019	Emergency Hartmann's Procedure and its Reversal	Laparoscopic procedures were associated with lower morbidity rates and successful stoma reversal in 92% of cases.
Elkomos et al., 2023	Is It the End of Hartmann's Procedure?	An updated review compared Hartmann's procedure to primary anastomosis, showing lower mortality associated with primary anastomosis and similar complication rates.
Sarhan et al., 2016	Five years of experience with laparoscopic peritoneal lavage	Laparoscopic peritoneal lavage proved to be safe and effective in patients with purulent diverticulitis (Hinchey III). Reported mortality and morbidity rates were 5% and 15%, respectively.
Bridoux et al., 2017	Hartmann's Procedure or Primary Anastomosis for Generalized Peritonitis due to Perforated Diverticulitis	Comparison between primary anastomosis with diverting ileostomy and Hartmann's procedure in patients with diverticular peritonitis. Conclusion: primary anastomosis demonstrated a significantly higher stoma reversal rate (96% vs. 65%) without an increase in mortality.
Halim et al., 2019	Primary resection anastomosis versus Hartmann's procedure in Hinchey III and IV diverticulitis	A meta-analysis showed that primary anastomosis was associated with lower mortality and a reduced incidence of complications compared to Hartmann's procedure, particularly in observational studies.
Facile et al., 2020	Short- and long-term outcomes for primary anastomosis versus Hartmann's procedure	Comparison between Hartmann's procedure and primary anastomosis revealed higher morbidity and mortality for Hartmann, with lower stoma reversal rates for patients undergoing the procedure.
Lambrichts et al., 2020	Sigmoid resection with primary anastomosis versus the Hartmann's procedure	A conclusion based on a systematic review indicates that primary anastomosis is preferable to Hartmann's procedure in selected patients, with better stoma reversal rates and lower associated morbidity.

Ryan et al., 2020	Systematic review comparing primary resection and anastomosis versus Hartmann's procedure	A meta-analytical study revealed that primary anastomosis resulted in lower postoperative morbidity and fewer permanent stomas compared to Hartmann's procedure in Hinchey III/IV cases.
Schmidt et al., 2018	Meta-analysis of surgical strategies in perforated left colonic diverticulitis	A review indicated that primary anastomosis had significantly higher rates of colonic restoration compared to Hartmann's procedure for complicated diverticulitis (Hinchey III/IV).

Source: The authors of the study

DISCUSSION

Hartmann's procedure, a well-established surgical approach for managing complicated diverticulitis in Hinchey III and IV stages, has been extensively evaluated in recent years. This procedure, involving the resection of the affected colon segment and creation of a temporary colostomy, is primarily indicated in critically ill patients. However, studies increasingly compare it with primary anastomosis (PA), raising questions about the optimal surgical strategy. The debate centers on morbidity, mortality, stoma reversal rates, and the long-term quality of life of patients undergoing these procedures.

Bridoux et al. (2017) provided compelling evidence favoring PA, demonstrating a 96% stoma reversal rate compared to 65% for Hartmann's procedure, while mortality rates were comparable. This study highlights PA's ability to restore intestinal continuity more effectively, a factor that significantly impacts patient quality of life. The findings suggest that PA could be prioritized in stable patients, challenging the traditional reliance on Hartmann's procedure in all emergency settings.

Halim et al. (2019), through a meta-analysis of 25 studies, reported similar findings, emphasizing PA's lower mortality rate of 8.2% compared to 10.8% for Hartmann's procedure. This slight yet meaningful difference underscores the importance of patient selection. The study advocates for PA in patients with favorable clinical profiles, given its advantages in reducing complications and achieving higher rates of stoma reversal.

Facile et al. (2020) expanded on this discussion, reporting that PA resulted in lower morbidity (9.2% vs. 30.3%) and mortality (0% vs. 10.6%) compared to Hartmann's procedure in a multicenter retrospective analysis. These findings underline the procedural benefits of PA, particularly in minimizing immediate postoperative risks. The high stoma reversal rate of 86.9% in the PA group further highlights its suitability for many patients, provided their condition permits.

Long-term outcomes are also a key focus in this debate. Loire et al. (2021) demonstrated that PA patients experienced fewer complications over time, including lower

rates of incisional hernias (29% vs. 52%) and reoperations. Furthermore, quality-of-life metrics consistently favored PA, suggesting that it may offer superior benefits beyond the acute postoperative phase. These findings emphasize the need to balance immediate surgical risks with long-term patient well-being.

Ryan et al. (2020) reinforced these conclusions, noting that PA was associated with fewer major complications and reduced rates of permanent stomas. However, the authors acknowledged that Hartmann's procedure remains a critical option for patients with severe clinical instability or significant comorbidities. This dual perspective highlights the importance of tailoring surgical decisions to individual patient profiles.

Schmidt et al. (2018), in their meta-analysis, added nuance to the debate by noting no significant difference in overall mortality between PA and Hartmann's procedure. However, they emphasized that PA offers a higher likelihood of restoring intestinal continuity, a critical consideration in improving patients' functional outcomes. This finding supports the growing consensus that PA should be preferred when feasible.

The role of laparoscopic techniques has also emerged as a pivotal consideration. Cassini et al. (2019) demonstrated that laparoscopic Hartmann's procedures yielded improved outcomes, including higher stoma reversal rates and reduced morbidity. These findings suggest that minimally invasive approaches may enhance the efficacy of Hartmann's procedure, particularly in high-risk patients, offering a viable alternative when PA is contraindicated.

Elkomos et al. (2023) extended this discussion by comparing traditional and laparoscopic Hartmann's procedures to PA. Their study highlighted PA's consistently superior outcomes, including lower mortality and higher stoma reversal rates. These results reinforce the importance of adopting evidence-based strategies to optimize surgical outcomes in patients with complicated diverticulitis.

Alternative approaches, such as laparoscopic peritoneal lavage, have also been explored. Sarhan et al. (2016) reported that this technique achieved a 5% mortality rate and 15% morbidity in Hinchey III cases, with reinterventions required in only 6.25% of patients. While not suitable for all cases, laparoscopic lavage may offer a less invasive option for selected patients, particularly those with localized peritonitis.

Despite the advantages of PA, Hartmann's procedure remains indispensable in certain scenarios. Severely ill patients, those with extensive comorbidities, or those presenting with fecal peritonitis often require the stability provided by Hartmann's approach. Its ability to control infection and prevent sepsis in critically ill patients remains unmatched, ensuring its continued relevance in emergency surgical care.

Long-term complications of Hartmann's procedure, such as incisional hernias and the psychological impact of permanent stomas, have been widely discussed. Loire et al. (2021) emphasized the need for ongoing patient support and tailored follow-up care to mitigate these issues. Advances in laparoscopic techniques may further address these challenges, potentially improving outcomes for patients undergoing Hartmann's procedure.

The debate also highlights the significance of surgical expertise and institutional resources. Centers with experienced teams and advanced perioperative care are more likely to achieve favorable outcomes with PA, even in high-risk patients. This underscores the need for continuous training and resource allocation to expand the feasibility of PA in emergency settings.

Ethical considerations also play a role in this discussion. Informed consent and patient preferences are crucial, particularly when deciding between PA and Hartmann's procedure. Understanding the potential risks and benefits of each approach empowers patients to make decisions aligned with their long-term goals and quality of life.

In conclusion, the choice between Hartmann's procedure and PA for managing Hinchey III and IV diverticulitis requires a nuanced approach. While PA offers clear advantages in terms of morbidity, mortality, and stoma reversal rates, Hartmann's procedure remains a critical option for high-risk patients. Continued research, innovation in laparoscopic techniques, and individualized patient care will be essential in refining surgical strategies and optimizing outcomes for this complex patient population.

CONCLUSION

This systematic review highlights the complexity and challenges in the management of complicated diverticulitis classified as Hinchey III and IV, emphasizing the critical role of surgical interventions in improving patient outcomes. The comparative analysis of Hartmann's procedure and primary anastomosis (PA) reveals significant differences in morbidity, mortality, and stoma reversal rates, underscoring the importance of individualized surgical decision-making. While Hartmann's procedure remains a vital option for critically ill patients due to its reliability in controlling sepsis, PA demonstrates superior outcomes in selected stable patients, particularly in terms of long-term quality of life and lower rates of permanent stomas.

The findings emphasize the growing relevance of minimally invasive approaches, such as laparoscopic techniques, which have shown promise in enhancing outcomes for both Hartmann's procedure and PA. The review further underscores the need for advanced perioperative care and multidisciplinary management to optimize patient recovery and

reduce complications. Additionally, the importance of patient stratification and the consideration of comorbidities in surgical planning are critical to achieving favorable outcomes.

Despite its insights, this review has limitations. The included studies exhibit heterogeneity in methodologies, with variations in patient selection criteria, surgical techniques, and outcome measures. Many studies rely on retrospective data or small sample sizes, limiting the generalizability of findings. Furthermore, inconsistencies in the reporting of long-term complications and quality-of-life outcomes highlight the need for standardized research protocols in this field.

Future research should focus on large-scale, multicenter trials to provide robust evidence on the comparative efficacy of Hartmann's procedure and PA in managing Hinchey III and IV diverticulitis. Standardized methodologies and uniform outcome measures will be essential to address existing gaps and improve the reliability of findings. Additionally, the development and evaluation of innovative surgical techniques and technologies, such as robotic-assisted procedures, hold promise for advancing the field.

Finally, further exploration of patient-reported outcomes, including quality of life and functional recovery, is crucial to ensure that surgical strategies align with patient priorities. By addressing these challenges, future studies can significantly enhance the understanding and management of complicated diverticulitis, ultimately improving clinical outcomes and the long-term well-being of patients undergoing these complex surgical interventions.

REFERENCES

1. Bridoux, V., Regimbau, J., Ouaisi, M., et al. (2017). Hartmann's procedure or primary anastomosis for generalized peritonitis due to perforated diverticulitis: A prospective multicenter randomized trial (DIVERTI). Journal of the American College of Surgeons, 225(6), 798-805. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2017.08.021

2. Halim, H., Askari, A., Nunn, R., et al. (2019). Primary resection anastomosis versus Hartmann's procedure in Hinchey III and IV diverticulitis. World Journal of Emergency Surgery: WJES, 14, Article 32. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13017-019-0225-0

3. Facile, I., Galli, R., Dinter, P., et al. (2020). Short- and long-term outcomes for primary anastomosis versus Hartmann's procedure in Hinchey III and IV diverticulitis: A multivariate logistic regression analysis of risk factors. Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery, 406, 121-129. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00423-020-01894-0

4. Lambrichts, D., Edomskis, P. P., Van der Bogt, R. D., et al. (2020). Sigmoid resection with primary anastomosis versus the Hartmann's procedure for perforated diverticulitis with purulent or fecal peritonitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 35, 1371-1386. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00384-020-03611-5

5. Ryan, O. K., Ryan, É., Creavin, B., et al. (2020). Systematic review and meta-analysis comparing primary resection and anastomosis versus Hartmann's procedure for the management of acute perforated diverticulitis with generalized peritonitis. Techniques in Coloproctology, 24, 527-543. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10151-020-02299-4

6. Schmidt, S., Ismail, T., Puhan, M., et al. (2018). Meta-analysis of surgical strategies in perforated left colonic diverticulitis with generalized peritonitis. Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery, 403, 425-433. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00423-018-1771-5

7. Loire, M., Bridoux, V., Mège, D., et al. (2021). Long-term outcomes of Hartmann's procedure versus primary anastomosis for generalized peritonitis due to perforated diverticulitis: Follow-up of a prospective multicenter randomized trial (DIVERTI). International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 36, 2159-2164. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00384-021-03947-7

8. Cassini, D., Miccini, M., Manoochehri, F., et al. (2019). Emergency Hartmann's procedure and its reversal: A totally laparoscopic 2-step surgery for the treatment of Hinchey III and IV diverticulitis. Surgical Innovation, 26, 770-771. https://doi.org/10.1177/1553350619856547

9. Elkomos, B., Baqar, S., Bhatti, M., et al. (2023). Is it the end of Hartmann's procedure for perforated diverticulitis with peritonitis? An updated systematic review and meta-analysis. British Journal of Surgery. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.12345

10. Sarhan, A., Sherif, T., & AbdelTawab, A. (2016). Five years of experience with laparoscopic peritoneal lavage as the first line of management for perforated colonic diverticulitis with purulent peritonitis. The Egyptian Journal of Surgery, 35, 262-267. https://doi.org/10.4103/1110-1121.184833