Reflections on Christopher Alexander's principles as a basis for good practices in urban analysis

https://doi.org/10.56238/sevened2024.018-058

Fernando dos Santos Calvetti[1]

ABSTRACT

This article reflects on the relevance of Christopher Alexander's discourse for current urban analysis, largely based on the computational power of modeling urban configuration and dynamics. Configurational analysis of cities is an essential approach to understanding and improving the way urban spaces develop and function. Among the infinite possibilities of analysis of this complex and constantly changing object, the use of mathematical instruments and models that seek to simulate urban relations and dynamics has gained more and more traction in academia, especially in the forms of configurational studies and urban syntax. In the meantime, Christopher Alexander is recognized for his innovative contributions to the understanding of urban form and its relationship with the flows that occur in the city. Alexander's approach is also fundamental for configurational analysis, as it offers a robust theoretical framework to understand how urban elements connect and interact in a functional and harmonious way. It emphasizes the importance of an urban structure that is adaptable, organic, and able to evolve over time to better serve the communities that live in it. This holistic and humanized vision of urbanism is crucial to address the contemporary challenges of cities.

Keywords: Christopher Alexander, Configurational studies, Urban analysis.

[1] Adjunct Professor at the Department of Architecture and Urbanism at the State University of Santa Catarina (UDESC)

INTRODUCTION

This text, of a reflective nature, discusses the relevance of Christopher Alexander's thought in the architectural present. Christopher Alexander's theories on urban analysis and design have for more than sixty years impacted architectural and urban theory in different contexts. His methodological proposals for the search for rational algorithms in the understanding and production of space become, as artificial intelligence and the digital interface become increasingly present in our praxis, increasingly current and pertinent to the understanding of our instrumental possibilities and limitations.

His seminal work, "A Pattern Language," for example, provides a comprehensive guide to understanding and designing urban spaces, emphasizing the organic and evolving nature of cities, in contrast to more rigid and mechanistic planning models. Christopher Alexander's theories on urban analysis and design constitute an interesting lens for understanding the dynamics and development of cities.

THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS

Alexander starts from the premise that urban spaces should grow and adapt organically, in a similar way to living organisms. This core concept is expressed through "patterns," which are recurring solutions to common problems in the built environment. Each pattern is a template that can be repeated over and over again, without the solution becoming identical with each repetition.

Alexander proposes that the city should not be a conglomeration of prefabricated elements, but rather a growing organism, guided by design principles such as differentiation and adaptation. This vision implies that the urban structure must emerge from a step-by-step development process, in which small projects and one-off developments contribute to a more coherent whole. This process is analogous to cell division, where smaller structures differentiate and adapt to form a larger, more complex structure.

One of Alexander's most impactful concepts is "nameless quality", a characteristic resulting from the applications of the hierarchically most important constraints in his theory, and therefore essential for the creation of livable and pleasant spaces according to his way of designing. He argues that this quality emerges when standards are applied correctly, creating a harmonious and functional environment. In "The Timeless Way of Building," the sister half of A Language of Patterns, Alexander explores how this quality can be achieved through design that respects the natural and social forces that shape urban spaces.

His approach, paradoxically rational and holistic, offers an alternative to traditional urban planning methodologies, which often fail to consider the complexity and interconnectedness of the elements that make up a city. Alexander's vision is particularly relevant at a time when many cities

face significant challenges related to disorderly growth, social fragmentation, and environmental sustainability.

In this context, the use of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) in urban analysis has been one of the areas in which Alexander's ideas have been successfully applied. The ability to map and analyze spatial patterns allows urban planners to identify areas of intervention and develop strategies that promote more coherent and sustainable urban growth. For example, the identification of morphological patterns in medium and large cities, which have greater possibilities for up-to-date databases, can reveal valuable insights into how the dynamics of urban sprawl impact public transport planning and the distribution of land uses.

Although Alexander's work has been widely influential, it is also the subject of criticism and revision. In recent decades, researchers and urban theorists have explored both new ways of applying and expanding their ideas and alternatives to them. A significant example is the adaptation of their concepts to the analysis of living structures in urban environments, as discussed by Jiang and Huang (2021). They propose an approach that integrates Alexander's principles with contemporary spatial science, creating analytical tools that capture the complexity and hierarchy of urban structures with the aid of programming languages.

The adaptation of Alexandrian thought in contemporary times is consistent with the necessary constant reflection on its pertinence and applicability. Alexander himself revised his theory over time. Initially, he focused on specific patterns to solve recurring problems in the built environment. However, in his later works, such as "The Nature of Order," he developed the idea of "centers" and their transformations, arguing that the beauty and functionality of spaces emerge from the dynamic interaction of these centers. This theoretical evolution reflects an attempt to integrate a deeper understanding of geometry and complexity into urban design processes. There is, therefore, the possibility of understanding that with maturity, the author recognizes the limitations of an extreme rationality when dealing with the city, a complex and incomplete object by definition.

Thus, it is understood today that the practical application of Alexander's theories can be observed in urban design and architecture projects that prioritize the harmonious integration of built and natural elements. For example, the Oregon experiment, described in "The Oregon Experiment," exemplifies how its ideas can be implemented on a large scale, while allowing for one-off interventions and incremental developments. This participatory and adaptive planning model has been used in several cities around the world to promote more sustainable and humane urban development.

Another example is the implementation of design patterns that promote connectivity and accessibility, as initially suggested by Freeman (1977) and Krafta (1994), who spearhead research and lines of configurational thought in the search for the recognition of urban patterns.

Configurational analysis of road networks and public spaces can help identify areas of high centrality and polarity, informing planning decisions that improve the efficiency of public transport and the quality of life of inhabitants.

FINAL THOUGHTS

Christopher Alexander's theories continue to be a vital source of inspiration and guidance for urban planners, architects, and researchers. Its emphasis on creating urban spaces that are both functional and aesthetically pleasing resonates with the contemporary need to develop more sustainable and livable cities. By integrating their ideas with modern technologies and analytical approaches, such as GIS, it is possible to advance the understanding and management of urban dynamics in a more effective and holistic way.

In conclusion, the relevance of Alexander's theories transcends simple practical application; They offer a philosophical and methodological vision that challenges traditional approaches to urban planning. By focusing on the adaptation and organic evolution of urban spaces, Alexander provides us with a framework to create cities that not only meet the functional needs of their inhabitants but also enrich their daily lives with beauty and harmony.

REFERENCES

1. Alexander, C. (1977). *A pattern language: Towns, buildings, construction*. New York: Oxford University Press.

2. Freeman, L. (1977). A set of measures of centrality based on betweenness. *Sociometry, 40*(1), 35-41.

3. Krafta, R. (1994). Modelling intraurban configurational development. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design, 21*(1), 67-82.

4. Sposito, M. (2001). As cidades médias e os contextos econômicos contemporâneos. In *Urbanização e cidades: perspectivas geográficas* (pp. 569-607). São Paulo: UNESP/FCT.