


Comic strips in elementary school: Multimodality contributing to the construction of meaning

 <https://doi.org/10.56238/sevened2024.002-060>

Neide Araujo Castilho Teno¹ and Nádia Bentos Gonçalves²

ABSTRACT

The text we are presenting here is related to a larger research project that is being developed in the Postgraduate Program in Letters (PPGLETRAS) at a public university, involving primary education and aspects related to multimodality and reading. We have cut out a section of the project for this study in order to present the strips as a textual genre marked by multimodality dialoguing for the construction of meanings. As theoretical assumptions, we used studies by Vergueiro (2004), Rama (2016), Dionísio and Vasconcelos (2013), Rojo (2012), Menezes De Sousa (2007), among others. The methodology used theoretical studies on the conceptions of reading and producing multimodal texts in the classroom, whose texts (strips) consisted of the corpus of analysis. The results of the study focused on the relevance of the comic strip genre and the importance of multimodality for understanding meaning.

Keywords: Comics, Multimodality, Construction of meaning, Elementary School.

¹ Dr. in Education. Master in Linguistics. Senior Lecturer of the Professional Master's Program in Letters (Profletras) and the Academic Master's Program in Letters at the State University of Mato Grosso do Sul (UEMS)/MS. She coordinates the Research Projects "(Multi) Literacies and Textual and/or Discursive Genres: Contributions to Language Teaching and Learning in Digital Times" and "Professional Narratives: Dialogues on the Agent of Literacy and Teaching".

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5062-9155>

E-mail: cteno@uol.com.br

² Master's student in Letters at the State University of Mato Grosso do Sul (UEMS – Campo Grande); Collaborator in the Research Project "(Multi) Literacies and Textual and/or Discursive Genres: contributions to the Teaching and Learning of Languages in Digital Times".

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0001-8309-1352>

E-mail: nadiabentosgoncalves@gmail.com



INTRODUCTION

The study group that we are participating in here is linked to a research project under the title (Multi) Literacies and Textual and/or Discursive Genres: Contributions to Language Teaching and Learning in Times. It is a group that has been building fruitful discussions about teaching and language in its different modalities, and has the participation of researchers and students enrolled in graduate programs at their different levels.

Thus, among the readings carried out, we highlight the research of Vergueiro (2004), Rama (2016), Dionísio and Vasconcelos (2013), Rojo (2012), Rojo and Barbosa (2015), Menezes De Sousa (2007), who address the presence of multimodality in the configuration of textual genres, as well as the importance of developing dynamic practices for the analysis and production of texts. Studies on the theme of reading comic strips, such as the one by Sousa et al. (2015), present a teaching proposal with the reading of comics bringing the potential of visual literacy to develop language and reading skills in elementary school.

The intense visual and linguistic invitation of the Strips and Comics has enraptured subjects to materialize this genre as an important reading material for the teaching and learning of the construction of meaning. They use different supports, different contexts and are widely used in the virtual environment. Acknowledging, therefore, the esteem of the studies of multimodal textual genres, we made a cut for the study in order to present the Comics, strips as a textual genre marked by multimodality dialoguing for the construction of meanings.

Regarding the methodology of the cut, we delineate the study from the theoretical studies carried out on the conceptions of reading and production of multimodal texts, and as a corpus of analysis we used a sample of texts (comics/strips), a database produced by students in elementary school.

THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS - MULTIMODAL TEXT AND COMICS.

In the panorama in which technologies present challenges, we point out new demands that involve new literacies, including the digital, and with this in the face of semiosis, and this sign, which until then had not been understood, can be decoded and another conception can be put into effect. At this point, the role of reading as a process of construction of new meanings is highlighted, as a practice on the ways of being of language to understand why to read, and to understand what the other wanted to say (GERALDI, 1997).

In a context of social and technological changes, it forces us to agree with what Dionísio (2011) explains about the importance of revisiting and expanding concepts related to reading and interactions when it comes to textual studies. The meaning of a text can only be understood by the articulations that exist in the text "graphic arrangement of the text on paper or on the computer



screen" (Dionísio, 2011, p. 141). This revisitation recommends including multiliteracies, in order to produce meanings, arguing that literacy is not one who knows how to read, but one capable of conferring meanings to multiple forms of language, such as imagery and verbal, that is, a plural reader.

In the same line of reasoning, Rojo and Moura (2019, p. 14) argue that in order to be literate, it is necessary to overcome the limits of coding and decoding codes, since the presence of numerous digital devices opens space for different cultures, which implies a multiplicity of literacies, which become multiliteracies. It was the very influence of these contemporary innovations that intensified "literacies in multiple languages (static and moving images, music, dance and gesture, oral and written verbal language, etc.)" (Rojo; Moura, 2019, p. 20).

The multimodality present in the different spaces requires teaching proposals that imply culturally redesigned textual productions. Scholars have dedicated themselves to research that addresses this theme, such as: Dionísio and Vasconcellos (2013), Rojo and Barbosa (2015), who approach studies that deal with multimodality, the materialization of texts with multiple languages. It can be exemplified by the studies of Rojo and Barbosa (2015), which brings as a contribution to teaching the concern of changes in society with the advancement of information and communication technologies and the new way of reading. An approach of this nature implies rethinking teaching practices and bringing the issues of multimodality and its role in communicative situations into the daily routine of the classroom.

Digital spaces, for example, as a communicative environment have used different languages in the composition of texts, which implies knowledge of methodological and theoretical knowledge that underlies the proposal. (Dionísio, 2011, p. 138) very well explains this relationship between languages " [...] All the resources used in the construction of textual genres play a rhetorical role in the construction of meanings of texts. The combination of visual material and writing is increasingly observed [...]", because human coexistence is increasingly inserted in an increasingly visual society and visual elements become more and more evident.

When we think about multimodal texts, we have to be attentive to go beyond verbal language, since the look of multimodality requires us to look at both writing and aspects related to visual grammar, design and redesign, in addition to the valuation of the social semiotics of hybrid texts in which we find multiple perspectives of those who read them.

Kress and Van Leeuwen (2001), by raising the perspective that visual structures can be associated with linguistic structures, and that both can indicate different points of view, propose a negotiation through their agencies for the construction of meanings (meaning making) about a totally fragmented reality. This is how he explains:



Meanings belong to culture, rather than to specific semiotic modes. [...]. For instance, what is expressed in language through the choice between different word classes and clause structures, may, in visual communication, be expressed through the choice between different uses of colour or different compositional structures. And this will affect meaning. Expressing something verbally or visually makes a difference.¹ (Kress, G. Van Leeuwen, 2001).

The literature explains that the concept of multimodality was introduced by Kress & Van Leeuwen (1996), a scholar in the epistemological field of Social Semiotics, who understands that this epistemology has a wider scope to understand and account for all modes of representation contained in the text, whether visual or imagery. "Visual structures realize meanings as linguistic structures do also and thereby point to different interpretations of experience and different forms of social interaction" (Kress & Van Leeuwen, 1996, p.2).

¹ Meanings belong to culture, rather than to specific semiotic modes [...]. For example, what is expressed in language through the choice between different word classes and sentence structures can, in visual communication, be expressed through the choice between different uses of color or different compositional structures. And that's going to affect the meaning. Expressing something verbally or visually makes a difference). (Kress, G. Van Leeuwen, 2001)

The scholars Dionisio and Vasconcelos (2013, p. 21), associate multimodality with combinations of resources and consider multimodal the fact of associating music, images, images, drawings, gestures, movements, facial expressions to writing, because "when we speak or write a text, we are using at least two modes of representation: words and gestures, words and intonations, words and images [...]" (Dionisio, 2011, p. 139).

Based on this conception, Dionisio (2011, p. 142) asserts that "one can speak of the existence of a visual informative continuum of written textual genres that goes from the least visually informative to the most visually informative". If we think about it another way, we can say that there are texts that, depending on the layout, or the way they are distributed on paper, or on a computer screen, already provide clues about which textual genre is being constructed. Comics and comic strips are examples of a more visually informative, multimodal genre.

According to Ramos (2016, p. 20-21), comics are "a big label, a hypergenre, which would aggregate different other genres, each with its own peculiarities". In this way, the comic strip, cartoon and cartoon genres, because they share the same language to compose their narratives, are "sheltered within this great umbrella called comics".

Multimodality and the construction of meanings in comics refer to the different ways of representing aspects related to linguistics in the construction of texts. Advances in technological resources have brought to contemporary times tools for film editing, text production, lighting, figurativeness, which now constitute multimodal marks present in different spaces. Rojo (2009) ponders in his studies the need to consider multisemiotic literacy, since contemporary society and



texts are increasingly multimodal and it is in them that we find the modalities of verbal (oral and written) and non-verbal language in a contiguous of signs/languages.

In this sense, we understand that multimodality joins the compositions of writing, speech and image to construct meanings. It is from this perspective that we pay attention to the issues of reading and understanding texts that are part of the subjects' daily lives. Comics and strips are texts of everyday actions and social events loaded with multiple information and require the reader to have skills for the issues of multisemiotic and multimodal genres. Regarding this discussion, Dionísio (2008) asserts that:

Image and word maintain an ever closer, ever more integrated relationship. [...] All the resources used in the construction of textual genres play a rhetorical role in the construction of meanings of texts. [...] Representation and images are not merely forms of expression for the dissemination of information [...], but are, above all, specially constructed texts that reveal our relationships with society and with what society represents (Dionísio, 2008, p. 132).

From this perspective of Dionísio (2008) we infer that among the multimodal texts, we include the diversity of genres such as: cartoons, strips, comics, paintings, images, illustrations, magazine covers, videos, cinema, etc., especially comics, which materialize in social contexts.

Both comic strips and comics can be understood as textual genres, as both involve a social communicative process, and fulfill the purpose of exposing ideas and criticisms with different themes, current or timeless. Ramos (2007, p. 101), who studies this theme, asserts that "comics (or just comics) is the label that most of the stories made with the language in comics" are given, however, other denominations appear in literature depending on their linkage: book or fanzine, independent magazine or sulfite sheets.

OBJECTIVE

The text we present here is related to a larger research project that is being developed in the Graduate Program in Letters (PPGLETRAS), of a Public University, involving elementary education and aspects related to multimodality and reading. We made an excerpt of the project for this study in order to *present the strips as a textual genre marked by multimodality, dialoguing for the construction of meanings*.

METHODOLOGY

We started the methodological paths through documentary studies of scholars who deal with the theme of multimodality and comics. Next, we selected a comic from a larger corpus organized from a database. Among the genres and themes, a diversity of multimodal texts were found, such as:

Bombril advertising, cleaning products, car advertisements, fruit and vegetable advertising, natural juices advertising, imported perfumes, natura perfumes, movie advertisements, comic strips, comics, comics, among other genres.

From this list of textual genres, we selected a strip. The strip under discussion can be found in the book *Will to know: Portuguese, for the ninth grade* (Alves; Brugnerotto, 2012, p. 65). And for analysis, we adopt the following parameters: observe multimodal resources including framing, colors, contexts. Critical reading based on interpretations of the context and situationality of the text. And the grammatical exploration based on the analysis of grammatical referents.

DEVELOPMENT

Figure 1: Comic Strip Analysis



Source: Cedraz (2009, p. 65).

The selected strip belongs to *Turma do Xaxado*, by Antônio Cedraz and the information about the characters can be found on the author's website. They are: Xaxado (grandson of a bandit from Lampião's gang), Zé Pequeno (fame of laziness), Arturzinho (selfish, miserly, vain, self-interested), Marinês and Capiba (brothers with different dreams. Marinês respects and cares for nature and Capiba wants to be a singer similar to Luiz Gonzaga). This class presents pedagogical-educational aspects through the language of comic books, and has been an instrument in the art of teaching children.

Cedraz's comics present a diversity of themes such as portrayal of life in the countryside, legends and mysteries of Brazilian culture, folklore and Brazilianness and are present in different works of textbooks, highlighting the author Antonio Cedraz as a comic artist and caricaturist. He is the winner of the HQ MIX Trophy for Best Children's Comic Book in Brazil (2002).

Starting the analysis with the critical reading of the strip, it is necessary to activate the reading of the world, one of the strategies of reading (Sole, 1998), where the reader makes predictions, questions of the events present in society and raises hypotheses. Thus, it is possible to anticipate



meanings "why hang your socks on the windows? At what time is it customary to place objects in the windows? These are already clues for a critical reading of the strip. It makes it necessary to interpret the strip information related to hanging stockings and make connections with the Christmas season and a piece of clothing hung at night to win gifts. An argument that requires previous knowledge and an exploration of the customs of the time.

We restricted ourselves to giving greater emphasis to multimodal resources and the construction of meanings, which is the objective of the study. The strip under analysis begins with a sequence of images, which allows the organization of the narrative and for this we resort to the iconic aspects. And as an iconic element we have the design represented by the frames of the sequences, and the insertion of the symbologies in the first comics (waiting for Santa Claus, looking out the window) and in the third comic presents a new plan (facial expressions of both the son and the mother, through the eyebrows of both).

Note that the construction of meaning, which indicates dissatisfaction of the characters, is indicated by the multimodality, the mannerisms of the face, the design of the mouths, the colors, the lighting that provides inferences and assumptions. We call attention to the relationship of the multimodality present in the strip for the construction of meaning with the presence of the sewing machine in the background, the size of the sock, the colors, the context of the strip are visible multimodal aspects that contribute to the interpretation of the strip.

Images have disrupted the scenarios that were previously occupied by verbal language and with this have encouraged studies to investigate the various semiotic modes in communication. The work with comics and strips deserves a more attentive approach than other textual genres, emphasizing, above all, the multimodal aspects and the role of the teacher in the classroom. Vergueiro (2004, p. 26) is in favor of this textual genre, because he understands that there are no limits to the work with comics, and in the case of comic strips "the only limit to their good use in any classroom is the creativity of the teacher and his ability to use them well"

And finally, the grammatical exploration that falls into the dialogue present in informal communication situations, and the irony that is very common in strips. Can other points be considered in the interpretation of the strips that deal with the extrapolation aspects of reality, such as, for example, do they still put socks and shoes in the window today to get Christmas presents? These aspects are not explored in this text due to its purpose of study.

FINAL THOUGHTS

The presentation was cut from the strips, a way to show the presence of different genres in teaching, involving multimodality. It was possible to undertake that the strips have gained



prominence in the Enem (National High School Exam), becoming a recurrent resource in the tests, in the textbooks and in the PNBE (National School Library Program).

Technological advances have brought the element of imagery to textual constructions and communication and a new challenge to the field of textual linguistics. Thus, multimodality arrives to respond to this other mode and give meaning to the text. The studies of Ramos (2009, 2011) have been a reference on this textual genre of strips as a multimodal and humorous genre. According to the scholar, it is very common to encompass within the hypergenre the so-called comics, cartoons, cartoons, comic strips as ways of producing comic books.

Ramos (2011) adds that several genres use the language of comics, some closer to short stories or graphic poems, others that predominate the narrative sequence, in one or more frames, and the use of graphic language of comics, such as the balloon, multimodality.

The study now carried out with the aim of presenting the strips as a textual genre marked by multimodality, dialoguing for the construction of meanings, brought important contributions to continue with the research that we are developing in public schools.



REFERENCES

1. Alves, R., & Brugnerotto, T. (2012). **Vontade de saber: português**. São Paulo: FTD. (Ensino Fundamental, 9).
2. Dionísio, A. P. (2008). Gêneros multimodais e multiletramento. In A. M. Karwoski & K. S. Brito (Orgs.), **Gêneros textuais: reflexão e ensino** (3a ed., pp. xx-xx). Rio de Janeiro: Nova Fronteira.
3. Dionísio, A. P., & Vasconcelos, L. J. de. (2013). Multimodalidade, gênero textual e leitura. In C. Bunzen & M. Mendonça (Orgs.), **Múltiplas linguagens para o ensino médio**. São Paulo: Parábola Editorial.
4. Dionísio, A. P. (2011). Gêneros textuais e multimodalidade. In A. M. Karwoski & K. S. Brito (Orgs.), **Gêneros textuais: reflexões e ensino** (4a ed., pp. 137-152). São Paulo: Parábola.
5. Geraldi, J. W. (1997). **Portos de passagem**. São Paulo: Martins Fontes.
6. Kress, G., & Leeuwen, P. A. V. (2001). **Multimodal discourse: the modes and media of contemporary communication**. New York: Oxford University Press.
7. Kress, G. R., & Leeuwen, P. A. V. (1996). **Reading Images: the grammar of visual design**. London: Routledge.
8. Menezes de Souza, L. M. T., & CMC. (2007). Hibridismo e Tradução Cultural: Reflexões. In D. B. Braga (Org.), **Trabalhos em linguística aplicada**. Campinas: Unicamp.
9. Souza, L. M. T. M. de. (2004). Hibridismo e tradução cultural em Bhabha. **Margens da cultura : mestiçagem, hibridismo & outras misturas**. São Paulo: Global.
10. Rama, A., & Vergueiro, W. (Orgs.). (2016). **Como usar as histórias em quadrinhos na sala de aula** (4a ed.). São Paulo: Editora Contexto.
11. Ramos, P. (2016). **A leitura dos quadrinhos**. São Paulo: Contexto.
12. Ramos, P. (2011). **FACES DO HUMOR - Uma Aproximação entre Piadas e Tiras** (1a ed.). Campinas - SP: Zarabatana Books.
13. Ramos, P. (2009). Histórias em Quadrinhos: Gênero ou Hipergênero. **Estudos Linguísticos (São Paulo. 1978), 38**, 1-14.
14. Ramos, P. (2004). A leitura oculta: processos de produção de sentido em histórias em quadrinhos. In R. Rojo (Ed.), **Letramento e capacidades de leitura para a cidadania**. São Paulo: Secretaria de Estado da Educação/Coordenadoria de Estudos e Normas Pedagógicas.
15. Rojo, R., & Barbosa, J. P. (2015). **Hipermodernidade, multiletramentos e gêneros discursivos**. São Paulo: Parábola Editorial.
16. Rojo, R. (2012). Pedagogia dos multiletramentos. In R. Rojo & E. Moura (Orgs.), **Multiletramentos na escola** (pp. 11-31). São Paulo: Parábola.
17. Rojo, R., & Moura, E. (Orgs.). (2019). **Letramentos, mídias, linguagens**. São Paulo: Parábola.



18. Silva, N. M. da. (2001). Elementos para a análise das Histórias em Quadrinhos. In *Anais do Congresso Brasileiro Da Comunicação* (pp. 1-15). Campo Grande, MS: Intercom; Sociedade Brasileira de Estudos Interdisciplinares da Comunicação.
19. Solé, I. (1998). *Estratégias de leitura* (6a ed.). Porto Alegre: Artmed.
20. Sousa, A. M., Amorin, M. de S., & Silva, E. F. da. (2015). Histórias em quadrinhos: uma proposta de letramento. *Tropos, 1*(4), 1-10.
21. Vergueiro, W. de C. S. (2004). Uso das HQs no ensino. In A. Rama & W. de C. S. Vergueiro (Orgs.), *Como usar as histórias em quadrinhos na sala de aula* (pp. 7-29). São Paulo: Contexto.