




THE US LLC TAX GAME: INCOME DISTRIBUTION, TAX COMPLIANCE, AND OPTIMIZATION STRATEGIES

 <https://doi.org/10.56238/isevmjv2n1-024>

Receipt of the originals: 01/01/2023

Acceptance for publication: 01/29/2023

Alex Porto Alegre de Almeida

ABSTRACT

Limited Liability Companies (LLCs) have become one of the most commonly used business structures in the United States due to their operational flexibility and favorable tax treatment. This paper examines how LLCs are taxed under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code, focusing on income distribution mechanisms, mandatory filing requirements, and strategic tax planning. It also discusses the implications of regulatory updates such as the Corporate Transparency Act, which has imposed new reporting obligations for business entities. Key tax optimization strategies such as the Qualified Business Income (QBI) deduction, accelerated depreciation under Section 179, profit distribution planning, and S corporation election are explored with reference to academic and governmental sources. The study aims to equip entrepreneurs, tax professionals, and foreign investors with the tools to understand and leverage the LLC structure effectively while ensuring full compliance with IRS regulations.

Keywords: LLC. Taxation. IRS. Pass-through income. Business planning. Tax strategy. U.S. Tax law.



INTRODUCTION

The choice of corporate structure directly influences the tax burden and compliance requirements in the United States. Among the options available, Limited Liability Companies (LLCs) stand out for offering limited liability protection, operational simplicity and flexible taxation options. It is estimated that millions of small and medium-sized US companies operate as LLCs, reflecting the attractiveness of this model (IRS, 2020).

By default, the IRS treats LLCs as pass-through entities, which means that the company's profits and losses “pass through” directly to its members' tax returns. However, LLCs can choose to be taxed as a C corporation (Form 1120) or an S corporation (Form 1120-S), using Form 8832 for this reclassification (IRS, 2020).

This flexibility allows shareholders to choose the form of taxation most aligned with their financial situation, desired tax burden and income distribution strategies (Thomson Reuters, 2024). In more complex structures, this decision can impact profit retention, labor obligations and tax liabilities.

In addition, the distribution of profits in an LLC varies according to its tax classification:

- Disregarded Entity (Single-Member LLC): Profits are taxed directly on the single member's personal return, generally through Form 1040, Schedule C.
- LLC treated as a Partnership: Each partner declares his or her share of the profits as determined on Form K-1, attached to Form 1065. This structure is common when the company has multiple members with different ownership interests (IRS, 2020).
- S or C Corporation: The LLC can choose to be taxed as one of these corporations, which impacts how profits are distributed (Investopedia, 2017).

The allocation of profits is not always proportional to the participation of each partner, as long as there is a valid operating agreement justifying such an arrangement (Nav, 2024). Therefore, the tax obligations of LLCs in the United States are wide-ranging and vary according to their classification:

- Form 1065: Required for LLCs with multiple members. Must be accompanied by Schedule K-1 for each member.
- Form 1120 or 1120S: For LLCs classified as C or S corporations, respectively.



- Form 5472: Required when there are foreign members in the LLC. Failure to file may result in fines of \$25,000 or more per year (IRS, 2020).

In addition, since 2024, all LLCs must file the Beneficial Ownership Information Report (BOIR) as required by the Corporate Transparency Act (CTA). This new federal requirement mandates disclosure of who controls or benefits economically from the company to the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN) (Barron's, 2023). Importantly, LLCs offer several opportunities for legally acceptable tax planning. In addition, the most common strategies are grouped together in Table 1, which presents a summary of the main tax planning strategies available to companies structured as LLCs in the United States. It highlights the legal underpinnings and tax benefits of each approach. These strategies are widely used to maximize tax efficiency and align business management with the legal requirements of the Internal Revenue Service (IRS).

In the face of an increasingly complex tax landscape, strategic tax planning has become not only a tool for cost reduction but also a critical element for business sustainability. For LLCs, which operate under pass-through taxation principles, the ability to structure income distributions and select the appropriate tax classification allows owners to adapt dynamically to evolving regulatory and financial environments (IRS, 2020). Choosing to be taxed as an S corporation, for instance, may significantly reduce self-employment taxes, provided that all IRS requirements regarding reasonable compensation and reporting are met (Kirkland, 2013).

Table 1: Optimization Tax Strategies for LLCs in the United States.

Strategies	Explanation	Source
Qualified Business Income (QBI) Deduction	Section 199A of the Internal Revenue Code allows a deduction of up to 20% of qualified net income for businesses that operate as pass-through entities. This deduction, however, is subject to restrictions based on the taxpayer's total income and the nature of the service provided.	Goodman & Whitten (2019)



Accelerated Depreciation (Section 179)	The purchase of long-term assets can be deducted in full in the year of acquisition, as provided for in Section 179. This reduces taxable income and encourages the modernization of business infrastructure.	Gentry & Hubbard (2000)
Distribution Planning	Distributing profits strategically, avoiding characterizing them as salary, can significantly reduce payroll taxes. However, in the case of S Corporations, it is mandatory that partners receive a “reasonable salary”, subject to social charges.	Kirkland (2013)
Reclassification as an S Corporation	By opting for taxation as an S Corporation, the owner can split their income between salary (taxable via payroll tax) and distribution (not subject to FICA), generating legal tax savings, provided the IRS rules are strictly followed.	IRS (2020)

Failure by LLCs to comply with their tax obligations can result in severe penalties. Among the most common risks are:

- Fines for omission or delay in submitting forms;
- Reclassification of distributions as salary, with retroactive incidence of taxes;
- Disregard of the LLC as a separate entity, in case of mixing personal and business finances.

The IRS, in its publication “Small Business Filing and Recordkeeping Requirements”, highlights the importance of separation of assets, accounting control and meeting deadlines (IRS, 2024).

The illustration below symbolizes the increasing tax pressure faced by business owners in the United States. As regulatory complexity and IRS enforcement grow, so does the necessity for effective tax strategies and compliance measures. The upward arrow crossing the word “TAX” represents both the potential rise in tax obligations and the critical importance of planning to manage this burden efficiently. For LLC owners, implementing measures such as QBI deductions, proper profit distributions, and electing S corporation status can significantly mitigate tax liabilities while ensuring regulatory compliance.

Figure 1: Visual Representation of Increasing Tax Burden and the Need for Strategic Planning.



The LLC structure offers significant tax and operational advantages for entrepreneurs in the United States, but also requires technical knowledge, planning and strict compliance with IRS regulations. The possibility of choosing the tax regime, combined with the flexibility of distributing profits, makes LLCs powerful tax management tools, provided they are used with caution and specialized monitoring. A thorough understanding of reporting requirements, optimization strategies and regulatory risks is essential to ensure not only tax efficiency, but also the legitimacy and continuity of business activity in the competitive American landscape.

Thus, while LLCs offer an attractive legal structure, they also demand heightened attention to tax administration. For entrepreneurs, accountants, and international investors, understanding the applicable tax dynamics, maintaining organized records, and seeking specialized guidance are essential actions. The successful use of this



structure depends on balancing the pursuit of tax benefits with strict compliance with legal requirements. With proper planning, LLCs can become not only efficient business vehicles but also solid instruments for long-term business continuity and sustainable growth.



REFERENCES

1. Barron's. (2023). If You Own a Business, Don't Forget About This New Federal Reporting Requirement. <https://www.barrons.com/articles/business-taxes-new-federal-reporting-requirement-0449123a>.
2. Gentry, W. M., & Hubbard, R. G. (2000). Tax Policy and Investment in Physical and Intangible Capital: Evidence from the Financial Returns to R&D. *The Journal of Public Economics*, 75(2), 171-205.
3. Goodman, L., Lim, K., Sacerdote, B., & Whitten, A. (2025). Simulating the 199A Deduction for Pass-through Owners. U.S. Department of the Treasury, Office of Tax Analysis Working Paper 118.
4. Internal revenue service (IRS). Schedule C (Form 1040), Profit or Loss *From Business (Sole Proprietorship)*. Washington, D.C.: Department of the Treasury, 2023. Disponível em: <https://www.irs.gov/forms-pubs/about-schedule-c-form-1040>.
5. Internal revenue service (IRS). Schedule K-1 (Form 1065), Partner's Share of Income, Deductions, Credits, etc. Washington, D.C.: Department of the Treasury, 2023. <https://www.irs.gov/forms-pubs/about-schedule-k-1-form-1065>.
6. Internal revenue service (IRS). Form 1120, U.S. Corporation Income Tax Return. Washington, D.C.: Department of the Treasury, 2023. <https://www.irs.gov/forms-pubs/about-form-1120>.
7. Internal Revenue Service (IRS). (2020). Publication 3402: Taxation of Limited Liability Companies. U.S. Department of the Treasury. <https://www.irs.gov/publications/p3402>.
8. Investopedia. (2017). How Becoming an LLC Could Save Taxes Under the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017. <https://www.investopedia.com/taxes/how-becoming-llc-could-save-taxes-under-trump/>.
9. KIRKLAND, Stephen D. Preparing for IRS scrutiny of executive compensation. **Compensation & Benefits Review**, v. 45, n. 5, p. 255-261, 2013.
10. Nav. (2024). Tax Planning Strategies for Small Business. Recuperado de: <https://www.nav.com/business-taxes/tax-planning-strategies/>.
11. Thomson Reuters. (2024). How Are LLCs Taxed? LLC Tax Benefits and Tips to Reduce Taxes. <https://tax.thomsonreuters.com/blog/how-are-llcs-taxed-llc-tax-benefits-and-tips-to-reduce-taxes/>.
12. Silva, J. F. (2024). SENSORY-FOCUSED FOOTWEAR DESIGN: MERGING ART AND WELL-BEING FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH AUTISM. *International Seven Journal of Multidisciplinary*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.56238/isevmjv1n1-016>



13. Silva, J. F. (2024). Enhancing cybersecurity: A comprehensive approach to addressing the growing threat of cybercrime. *Revista Sistemática*, 14(5), 1199–1203. <https://doi.org/10.56238/rcsv14n5-009>
14. Venturini, R. E. (2025). Technological innovations in agriculture: the application of Blockchain and Artificial Intelligence for grain traceability and protection. *Brazilian Journal of Development*, 11(3), e78100. <https://doi.org/10.34117/bjdv11n3-007>
15. Turatti, R. C. (2025). Application of artificial intelligence in forecasting consumer behavior and trends in E-commerce. *Brazilian Journal of Development*, 11(3), e78442. <https://doi.org/10.34117/bjdv11n3-039>
16. Garcia, A. G. (2025). The impact of sustainable practices on employee well-being and organizational success. *Brazilian Journal of Development*, 11(3), e78599. <https://doi.org/10.34117/bjdv11n3-054>
17. Filho, W. L. R. (2025). The Role of Zero Trust Architecture in Modern Cybersecurity: Integration with IAM and Emerging Technologies. *Brazilian Journal of Development*, 11(1), e76836. <https://doi.org/10.34117/bjdv11n1-060>
18. Antonio, S. L. (2025). Technological innovations and geomechanical challenges in Midland Basin Drilling. *Brazilian Journal of Development*, 11(3), e78097. <https://doi.org/10.34117/bjdv11n3-005>
19. Moreira, C. A. (2025). Digital monitoring of heavy equipment: advancing cost optimization and operational efficiency. *Brazilian Journal of Development*, 11(2), e77294. <https://doi.org/10.34117/bjdv11n2-011>
20. Delci, C. A. M. (2025). THE EFFECTIVENESS OF LAST PLANNER SYSTEM (LPS) IN INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT MANAGEMENT. *Revista Sistemática*, 15(2), 133–139. <https://doi.org/10.56238/rcsv15n2-009>
21. SANTOS, Hugo; PESSOA, Eliomar Gotardi. Impacts of digitalization on the efficiency and quality of public services: A comprehensive analysis. *LUMEN ET VIRTUS*, [S.l.], v. 15, n. 40, p. 4409-4414, 2024. DOI: 10.56238/levv15n40024. Disponível em: <https://periodicos.newsciencepubl.com/LEV/article/view/452>. Acesso em: 25 jan. 2025.
22. Freitas, G. B., Rabelo, E. M., & Pessoa, E. G. (2023). Projeto modular com reaproveitamento de container marítimo. *Brazilian Journal of Development*, 9(10), 28303-28339. <https://doi.org/10.34117/bjdv9n10057>
23. Pessoa, E. G., Feitosa, L. M., e Padua, V. P., & Pereira, A. G. (2023). Estudo dos recalques primários em um aterro executado sobre argila mole do Sarapuí. *Brazilian Journal of Development*, 9(10), 28352–28375. <https://doi.org/10.34117/bjdv9n10059>
24. PESSOA, E. G.; FEITOSA, L. M.; PEREIRA, A. G.; EPADUA, V. P. Efeitos de espécies de *Ina* na eficiência de coagulação, Al residual e propriedade dos flocos no tratamento de águas superficiais. *Brazilian Journal of Health Review*, [S.l.], v. 6, n. 5, p. 2481-24826, 2023. DOI: 10.34119/bjhrv6n5523. Disponível em: <https://ojs.brazilianjournals.com.br/ojs/index.php/BJHR/article/view/63890>. Acesso em: 25 jan. 2025.



25. SANTOS, Hugo; PESSOA, Eliomar Gotardi. Impacts of digitalization on the efficiency and quality of public services: A comprehensive analysis. LUMENET VIRTUS, [S.l.], v. 15, n. 40, p. 44094414, 2024. DOI: 10.56238/levv15n40024. Disponível em: <https://periodicos.newsciencepubl.com/LEV/article/view/452>. Acesso em: 25 jan. 2025.
26. Filho, W. L. R. (2025). The Role of Zero Trust Architecture in Modern Cybersecurity: Integration with IAM and Emerging Technologies. *Brazilian Journal of Development*, 11(1), e76836. <https://doi.org/10.34117/bjdv11n1-060>
27. Oliveira, C. E. C. de. (2025). Gentrification, urban revitalization, and social equity: challenges and solutions. *Brazilian Journal of Development*, 11(2), e77293. <https://doi.org/10.34117/bjdv11n2-010>
28. Pessoa, E. G. (2024). Pavimentos permeáveis uma solução sustentável. *Revista Sistemática*, 14(3), 594–599. <https://doi.org/10.56238/rcsv14n3-012>
29. Filho, W. L. R. (2025). THE ROLE OF AI IN ENHANCING IDENTITY AND ACCESS MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS. *International Seven Journal of Multidisciplinary*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.56238/isevmjv1n2-011>
30. Antonio, S. L. (2025). Technological innovations and geomechanical challenges in Midland Basin Drilling. *Brazilian Journal of Development*, 11(3), e78097. <https://doi.org/10.34117/bjdv11n3-005>
31. Pessoa, E. G. (2024). Pavimentos permeáveis uma solução sustentável. *Revista Sistemática*, 14(3), 594–599. <https://doi.org/10.56238/rcsv14n3-012>
32. Eliomar Gotardi Pessoa, & Coautora: Glaucia Brandão Freitas. (2022). ANÁLISE DE CUSTO DE PAVIMENTOS PERMEÁVEIS EM BLOCO DE CONCRETO UTILIZANDO BIM (BUILDING INFORMATION MODELING). *Revistaft*, 26(111), 86. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10022486>
33. Eliomar Gotardi Pessoa, Gabriel Seixas Pinto Azevedo Benitez, Nathalia Pizzol de Oliveira, & Vitor Borges Ferreira Leite. (2022). ANÁLISE COMPARATIVA ENTRE RESULTADOS EXPERIMENTAIS E TEÓRICOS DE UMA ESTACA COM CARGA HORIZONTAL APLICADA NO TOPO. *Revistaft*, 27(119), 67. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7626667>
34. Eliomar Gotardi Pessoa, & Coautora: Glaucia Brandão Freitas. (2022). ANÁLISE COMPARATIVA ENTRE RESULTADOS TEÓRICOS DA DEFLEXÃO DE UMA LAJE PLANA COM CARGA DISTRIBUÍDA PELO MÉTODO DE EQUAÇÃO DE DIFERENCIAL DE LAGRANGE POR SÉRIE DE FOURIER DUPLA E MODELAGEM NUMÉRICA PELO SOFTWARE SAP2000. *Revistaft*, 26(111), 43. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10019943>