

Analysis of the implementation of bifacial photovoltaic panels in homes

10.56238/isevmjv3n4-004 Receipt of originals: 06/12/2024 Acceptance for publication: 07/02/2024

José Francisco Resende da Silva¹, Welington Souza Cezilio², Kleber Rocha de Oliveira³, Elói Fonseca⁴.

ABSTRACT

Clean and renewable energy sources are strongly debated and are being seen as a great alternative to meet society's energy demand, either for economic reasons or for reasons of lower carbon emissions, consequently there is a growing search for these energy sources. In this way, solar energy is gaining more and more space, especially in homes. With this, the objective of this study is an analysis of the implementation of a bifacial solar system in a residence, aiming at a better use of generation by installed space of the system. The advantage of the bifacial is the generation from the lower face, which can increase the electrical production in each panel by up to 25%.

Keywords: Panels, Bifacials, Systems, Residences.

INTRODUCTION

With the growing demand in the global solar photovoltaic sector, progressively more countries have been standing out in this energy sector. Brazil has been following this growth, having improved more and more in the sector. One of the motivations for the gain of the Brazilian solar sector was the reduction in the price of photovoltaic modules and the publication of Normative 482/2012, which determined new guidelines for distribution and generation in Brazil. With Normative 482/2012, the customer is now based on legal terms, to generate and consume their own energy in an efficient, renewable and zero-waste generation in energy

¹ Doctor

² Graduate

UNESP-Universidade Estadual Paulista Júlio de Mesquita Filho

http://lattes.cnpq.br/3023885342426808

E-mail: jose.resende@unesp.br

UNESP-Universidade Estadual Paulista Júlio de Mesquita Filho http://lattes.cnpg.br/3023885342426808

E-mail: welington.cezilio@unesp.br

³ Doctor

UNESP-Universidade Estadual Paulista Júlio de Mesquita Filho

http://lattes.cnpq.br/1264715890369153

E-mail: kleber.oliveira@unesp.br

⁴ Doctor

Freelance Researcher

http://lattes.cnpq.br/1923388698992647

E-mail: eloifonseca1@gmail.com



generation. A considerably good factor of Brazil is the climate, which is predominantly tropical, receiving a large amount of solar radiation every day of the year. With rates ranging from 5483Wh/m^2 and 4444 Wh/m^2 between the most different regions of Brazil, according to data from CRESESB, (2023).

Because of this Brazilian potential, it is absolutely likely that the execution of solar systems in businesses, industries and especially in homes, are ideal for our current scenario.

With the broad search for solar panels, scholars in the area develop other technologies to innovate, as in the case of bifacial panels.

Solar energy from photovoltaic panels is in continuous growth in the country and on the world stage, but until some time ago there was distinction. Until in 2012, with the publication of Resolution No. 482, which involves the extension of small-scale distributed generation, a sector that gained strength in Brazil and that, in 2020, reached the mark of 6 GW of installed power, a high number compared to the total installed potential at the time, according to data from ABSOLAR (Brazilian Association of Photovoltaic Solar Energy) [GLOW SOLAR, 2021].

In mid-2012, the National Electric Energy Agency (ANEEL) consolidated the first Normative Resolution, REN No. 482, which defined the general conditions for access to distributed microgeneration and minigeneration in Brazil, in addition to completely elaborating the entire system so that it was possible to carry out the compensation of electricity. Despite this, after a few years, some adjustments to this resolution were indispensable, with the purpose of minimizing costs and time for connection and expanding the audience, which was modified in Resolution No. 687, of 2015. And, from then on, it is likely that every person with a CNPJ or CPF will generate their own energy, from renewable sources [GLOW SOLAR, 2021]. The new regulation of 2022 had some changes in deadlines, all the provisions of Law 14,300 that do not depend on ANEEL's specification or adequacy of the concessionaires' systems, can already be applied immediately. ANEEL had 180 days to regularize the Law, that is, to update Normative Resolution REN No. 482 to avoid conflicts with Law 14,300 [GOV 2022].

The main changes in Law 14,300/2022 were related to installed power, that there were some changes in the distributed minigeneration part, which for non-dispatchable sources reduced the limit from 5MW, as it was in REN482, to 3MW. And the higher limit of 75 kW (seventy-five kilowatts) was maintained, this for systems connected to the electricity distribution network through the installation of consumer units (GOV, 2022).

Associated with the compensation of electricity, before the resolution of Law 14,300 there was a total compensation system, which refers to a compensation system of 1 to 1. In the



old model, everything that was injected into the network could be consumed without discounts for this, but evidently, still paying the PIS and COFINS tax rates, but this has no direct relationship with tariff components [GOV, 2022].

The main contributions of this work:

1) an analysis of a bifacial solar system mounted on a residence, and to ascertain the best use of these panels;

2) performing a comparative analysis with conventional panels and ascertaining the difference between them;

3) system sizing, with updated values;

4) prepare an economic feasibility study of the project. The project is aimed at a better use of generation by installed system space.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this work is to analyze a system of bifacial solar panels, installed on the roof of a residence, in order to evaluate the best condition to install it and what values can be obtained with this theoretical installation, when compared to conventional panels.

METHODOLOGY

DATA COLLECTION ON BIFACIAL PANELS

Structure studies for installation. The most appropriate structure is the one that uses the upper part of the houses in built-in concrete roofs (slab), and built-in roofs can be chosen or also those of fiber cement that are not found in greater quantity, which have a good surface reflection.

The analysis methodology for the comparison and obtaining the results was carried out in an Excel spreadsheet, with all the base data for the calculations being noted in the spreadsheet. Base information of the installation region was captured on the CRESESB website, with the average solar irradiation data with values represented in (kWh/ m^2*day), also acquiring the ideal angle for the best use of the panel, which is 23° degrees positioned to the north.

The location chosen was the municipality of Rosana, in the interior of the state of São Paulo (SP), latitude 22° 34' 48" S and longitude 53° 03' 32" W.

DEVELOPMENT

FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

The study consists of the analysis of a system of bifacial solar panels in homes, analyzing



the best way to obtain the best use of solar incidence. It is known that in large installations there is good use, but in homes some type of ideal mounting structure can be created for bifacial photovoltaic solar systems, with a reflective surface that better takes advantage of the rays reflected by the roof of the house and adequacy of the height of the panels in relation to the reflective surface, as well as with a well-sized structure it is possible to reduce the spaces used and consequently reduce the amount of equipment.

The types of constructions for the preparation of the project are, the built-in roof or building surfaces is an alternative for the installation of panels, since it contains a surface with a considerable reflection that most of the time is concrete, which if positioned correctly can obtain considerable use, linked to bifacial technology. Another alternative would be the installation of bifacial solar panels with 2 functions, which would be the roof with a secondary utility and the generation of electricity with the plates as the main purpose. This installation of the panels is possible with the utility of serving as a cover as well, as it is a material that serves to seal between the spaces of one plate and another. In the case of this study, asbestos cement roofs (former "asbestos" roofs) were the option, as they are in greater quantity and it would be good to have the results of the studies of this implementation of monofacial and bifacial panels for analysis.

Every part of the structure of the modules will be recorded and determined with the necessary seals for the panels used in the roof part. The equipment selected for the analysis, such as common and bifacial panels, inverter and other necessary items. Figure 1 shows the monofacial solar panel chosen for the comparison.





Figure 1. 555W Monocrystalline Solar Panel Sunova 72MDH 30mm

Table 1 provides the standard information for the common cell monocrystalline solar panel.

Rated Power	555W
Tension	40.89Vdc
Current	13.58A
Efficiency	Face Frontal 21,5%
Cell Type	Monocrystalline
Maker	Sunova

Table 1. Specifications 555W Monocrystalline Solar Panel.

In the midst of several innovations, Sunova Solar's panels are developed with Half-Cell technology (that is, cells cut in half to make the system more efficient) and protection against the problem of Hot Spots (with a circuit designed eventually to avoid the occurrence of hot spots that may arise due to shading in the solar panels).

Sunova's solar panels have a high performance and are differentiated by their resistance and durability, with quality assured by the double inspection in el Test (a rigorous test that proves the robustness of photovoltaic cells).

Figure 2 shows the bifacial solar panel chosen for the comparison.





Figure 2. Painel Solar 575W Monocristalino Bifacial Half-Cell Sine Energy - SN575-144MTB

Table 2 shows the standard information of the monocrystalline solar panel of bifacial cells.

Table 2. Specifications 555 W	Monocrystanine Solar Pane
Rated Power	575W
Tension	42.24Vdc
Current	13.63A
Efficiency	Face Frontal 22,25% Bifacial 27,81%
Cell Type	Monocrystalline
Maker	Sine Energy
INMETRO Registration	003207/2023

With a high power generation on account of the combination of technologies, Half-Cell, TopCon, Multi-busbar, monocrystalline cells and Bifacial Design offer an average efficiency of 27.81%. This bifacial photovoltaic solar panel reaches an average output of 2,875W per day with just 5 hours of full sun and can reach up to 3,594kW per day with 25% bifacial power gain.

Panel service time increased with Anti-PID technology, induced potential degradation is less over the life of the panel. In this way, the loss of power occurs at a slower pace and has less impact on power generation.

The SN575-144MBT solar module has a robust structure to last a long time, to withstand harsh weather conditions, allowing for superior durability.

With the 575W bifacial solar module, we have the possibility of a number of applications, being more suitable for grid-connected systems in open areas, homes and businesses that are installed on the ground or on fiber cement roofs (former "asbestos" roofs) to take advantage of the sunlight reflected on the surface and captured by the cells at the bottom.



Figure 3 contains the chosen solar inverter.



Figure 3. GROWATT on-grid photovoltaic solar inverter

Table 3 shows the most relevant technical specifications of the inverter:

		0
	Rated Power	2kW
N	/Iaximum Input Voltage	500Vdc
]	Rated Voltage (Output)	(180V-280)
Ma	ax. DC Current by MPPT	13A
	Max. Current output	16A
	Efficiency	97,2%
	Type of Investor	On-grid
	Maker	GROWATT

Table 3. Specifications GROWATT on-grid solar PV inverter

The built-in roof or building surfaces is an alternative for the installation of panels, since it contains a surface with a considerable reflection that most of the time is concrete, which if positioned in the right way obtains considerable use, when considering bifacial technology.

In the analysis of this installation, it was considered that the panels were designed with the intention of generation at the bottom, that is, corresponding to the bifacial solar panel model, to be later compared with the monofacial solar module, being installed in the same frame. The structure must be positioned a little higher than the slab or, in the case of this study, above the fiber cement roof of the leisure area of the residence, at a height of at least 1.2 meters.

Figure 4 below shows how the panels should be grouped and inclined, with only two panels having to be adjusted in the height part of the roof in relation to the roof.





Figure 4. Installation of modules with the inclination for bifacial panels on concrete roof

The next section exposes the results and tests of the proposed formulation.

TESTS AND RESULTS

The most appropriate structure is the one that uses the upper part of the houses in built-in concrete roofs (slab), and built-in roofs can be chosen or also those of fiber cement that are not found in greater quantity, which have a good surface reflection.

The analysis methodology for the comparison and obtaining the results was done in an Excel spreadsheet, with all the base data for the calculations being noted in the spreadsheet. Base information of the installation region was captured on the CRESESB website, with the average solar irradiation data with values represented in (kWh/m^2*day), also acquiring the ideal angle for the best use of the panel, which is 23° degrees positioned to the north.

The location chosen was the municipality of Rosana, in the interior of the state of São Paulo (SP), latitude 22° 34' 48" S and longitude 53° 03' 32" W. Next, the climatic data were obtained from CRESESB, which are expressed in figures 5 and 6 below:



Figure 5. Solar	irradiation	values in	1 Rosana	-SP
1 15410 21 20141	maanation	, and ob m	1 1 Coballa	D1

Es	tação: Rosana															
Mu	nicípio: Rosana , SP - BRASIL															
La	titude: 22,601°S															
Lo	ngitude: 53,049°O															
Dis	Distância do ponto de ref. (22,58° s; 53,058889° O) :2,5 km															
# Ângulo In		Inclinação	Irradiaç	ão sola	r diária	n média	mensa	l [kWh/	m ² .dia]							
*	Апушо	munaçav	Jan	Fev	Mar	Abr	Mai	Jun	Jul	Ago	Set	Out	Nov	Dez	Média	Delta
~	Plano Horizontal	0° N	6,05	6,05	5,54	4,69	3,72	3,37	3,56	4,46	4,72	5,45	6,14	6,55	5,02	3,17
	Ângulo igual a latitudo	22° N	5.45	5.76	5.71	5 22	1 50	4 25	/ 51	5.27	5.02	5.21	5.60	5.78	5.00	4.42





The place of theoretical implementation of the system was a residence in the municipality of Rosana, district of Primavera – SP. The selected installation point was the leisure area of the house. Figures 7 and 8 below show the roof where the structure with the panels will be designed:







Figure 8. Place of residence where implementation will be analyzed



The structure assembled with the panels will be positioned facing the geographic north, with an inclination of 23 degrees, which would be inclined towards the trees in figure 8, the structure would be adapted to a height of 1.3 meters from the fiber cement roof, a structure that would be fixed to the upper wall next to the roof, giving more security in the matter of supporting the project.

The dimensioning of the monofacial system to compare with the values of the bifacial



system was performed with data from the chosen residence. With the house defined, the energy bill for the month was purchased, but in addition to the data for the month for the month, there was other important information from previous months.

Figures 9 and 10 show the energy bill for the pandemic period in 2021, as the new energy tariffs were placed in automatic debit, and it will no longer be printed from this date on.

VFI CFILLIO RUFAUIA Sp CPF / CNPD: Data de Emissão: 23/02/2021 Data de Emissão: 23/02/2021 Data de Emissão: 23/02/2021 Data de Emissão: 23/02/2021 Data de Emissão: 23/02/2021 Data de Emissão: 23/02/2021 Data de Emissão: 23/02/2021 Data de Emissão: 23/02/2021 Data de Emissão: 23/02/2021 Data de Emissão: 23/02/2021 Data de Emissão: 23/02/2021 Data de Cadastro Medidor/Constante RESTDENCIA /RESTDENCIAL NORMAL MONOFASTCO 12/ Limite adequados de tensão (Y) 12/ Limite adequados de tensão (Y) 12/ CONSUMO 13/22 3/469 26/01/21 28 Atual 600 Produto Ger Produto Colt Descriceo Qtde Gel CONSUMO TE 13/2 0/2012/01 147 0/2012/01 1/27 12/ 12/ 12/ 13/2 147 147 147 147 147 147 147 147	Composition Composition Dias do Periodo Composition do Fornectante Sector Postencias 6,22 Diastingto 8,22 Postencias 6,22 Diastingto 8,22 Postencias 6,22 Diastos 10,51 Composto ICMS (formect Hug 57 12,00% 6,339 56,8 36 12,00% 0,28 2,2 9 9,82% 0,88 9,88 09 0,00% 0,08 11,9 12,01 112,1 112,1
CPF / CNP3: Data de Emissão: 23/02/2021 Data de Emissão: 23/02/2021 Data de Emissão: 23/02/2021 Data de Emissão: 23/02/2021 Medidor/Constante Classificação Medidor/Constante Classificação Tensão Nominal ou contratada(v) Limite adequados de tensão (v) Dé 12/ Limite adequados de tensão (v) Dé 12/ Limite adequados de tensão (v) Dé Limite adequados de tensão (v) Dé 12/ Limite adequados de tensão (v) Dé 12/ Limite adequados de tensão (v) Dé 12/ Limite adequados de tensão (v) Dé Constante Composição do 3 Detalhamento da Co tte Asta Colspan="2">Detalhamento da Co tte Asta Colspan="2">Detalhamento da Co tte Asta Conosta da Co tte Asta	Ad MORPAL MONOFASTCO Ad MORPAL MONOFASTCO so de tensão (*) Débito Aut. 133 Débito Aut. 133 Débito Aut. AG:0507 Distribuição do Porvedures Seergia 35,7 Distribuição 8,2 Perdes 6,2 Perdes 6,2 Perdes 6,2 Perdes 6,2 Recargos 13,4 Tennamissão 8,2 Perdes 6,2 Recargos 13,4 Telbutos 16,5 AS [1.5.107. AS [1.5.107. AS [2.5.107. AS [2.5.107. 12,00% 6,39 50,5 36 12,00% 6,28 2,2 19 3,30% 0,28 3,5 19 0,82% 0,00 11,5 12,01 112,1
Dados, de Cadastro Medidor/Constante Classificação RESIDENCI/A/AESIDENCI/AE/AESIDENCI/AE NORMA MONOFASICO 12/ Tensão Nominal ou contratada(y) 12/ Limite adequados de tensão (y) 12/ Dé 11/2 Tensão Nominal ou contratada(y) 12/ Limite adequados de tensão (y) 12/ Dé 11/2 Tensão Nominal ou contratada(y) 12/ Limite adequados de tensão (y) 12/ Dé 11/2 Tensão Nominal ou contratada(y) 12/ Limite adequados de tensão (y) 12/ Dé 12/ Tensão Nominal ou contratada(y) 12/ Limite adequados de tensão (y) 12/ Dé 12/ Tensão Nominal ou contratada(y) 12/ Limite adequados de tensão (y) 12/ Dé 12/ Detalhamento da CC da do Produto 660 CONSUMO TES 147 0,2302170 Valor Base Calc. Imposto 160,210 Antesio Perdes incersos ributes CCI+ Descriceo do Produto 6601 CONSUMO TES 147 0,2302170 Valor Base Calc. Atig. Valor Vis 66021 AD.S. AMAR. 147 0,013401 Jago 2,36 12,00% 6,39 6,39 160,19 Gespi ALOR DO OFIIS 6699 VALOR DO OFIIS 160,19 3,30% Gespi ALOR DO OFIIS 6699 VALOR DO OFIIS 160,19 3,30% Gespi CORRANCA ILLE PARA A PREFEITURA 83,55 12,01 0,08	Icação AL NORMAL MONOLASTCO AL NORMAL MONOLASTCO Dias do Período 28 Potôncia Médio AL NORMAL Al NORMAL Al NORMAL Al NORMAL Dias do Período 28 Dias do 26 Dias do 28 Dias do 28
Medidor/Constants Classificação RESIDERCI/AZ/RESIDERCIAL NORMAL MONOFASICO ALSO 12/ Tensão Nominal ou contratada(v) 12/ Limite adequados de tensão (v) 12/ Décida Also Constanta anterior Also 26/01/21 Décida 28 Tem Anterior Anterior Also 26/01/21 Dissido Periodo 28 Despise Also Also 26/01/21 Despise Also 28 Despise Also Also 26/01/21 Despise Also 28 Despise Also 26/01/21 Despise Also 28 Despise Also 26/01/21 Despise Also 28 Despise Also 26/01/21 Despise Also 28 Despise Also 26/01/21 Despise Also 28 Despise Also 28 Despise Also 27/17 Despise Also	AL NORMAL MONOFASTCO as de tensão (V) Débito Aut. (333) Compissícilo do Formacine Dias do Período 28 5 Potência Médio C. Aliq. Valor Valor Tot. to Imposto ICNS (formet im 57 12,00% 5,34 42; 12 61 12,00% 0,28 2; 19 3,30% 3; 19 0,82% 0,08 11; 12,01 112,3
RESTDENCTAL /RESTDENCTAL NORMAL MONOFASTED Tensão Nominal cu contratada(V) Limite adequados de tensão (V) 127 Limite adequados de tensão (V) 127 Limite adequados de tensão (V) Limite adequados de tensão (V) 127 Limite adequados de tensão (V) Limite adequados de tensão (V) Limite adequados de tensão (V) Litem Composição do 1 Composição do 1 Composição do 1 Composição do 1 Detalhamento da Cc ta Astual de Produto Fornec. Tenso: de Produto Fornec. Tenso: Mator Mator de Produto Fornec. Tenso: Colspan="2">Cale: Algo: 12,007 de Produto Fornec. Tenso: de Produto Fornec. Tenso: </td <td>AL NORPAL MONIFICATION as de tensão (*) Débito Aut. 133 Composição do Formedras Dins do Período 28 5. Potência Médio Composição do Formedras Deregia 35,7 Detribuição 28,2 Transmissão 8,7 Perdes 6,2 Transmissão 8,7 Perdes 6,2 Transmissão 13,4 Deregia 15,7 Detribuição 28,2 Transmissão 8,7 Perdes 6,2 Médio 16,1 AS [1.5:107. C. Aliq. Valor Valor Tot. 12,00% 6,39 50,1 26 12,00% 6,39 50,2 26 12,00% 6,28 2,2 19 3,80% 3,2 00 0,82% 0,08 11,5 12,01 112,3</td>	AL NORPAL MONIFICATION as de tensão (*) Débito Aut. 133 Composição do Formedras Dins do Período 28 5. Potência Médio Composição do Formedras Deregia 35,7 Detribuição 28,2 Transmissão 8,7 Perdes 6,2 Transmissão 8,7 Perdes 6,2 Transmissão 13,4 Deregia 15,7 Detribuição 28,2 Transmissão 8,7 Perdes 6,2 Médio 16,1 AS [1.5:107. C. Aliq. Valor Valor Tot. 12,00% 6,39 50,1 26 12,00% 6,39 50,2 26 12,00% 6,28 2,2 19 3,80% 3,2 00 0,82% 0,08 11,5 12,01 112,3
Tensão Nominal ou contratada(v) Limite adequados da tensão (v) Dá 127 117 A 133 117 A 133 Interna de la contratada(v) Limite adequados da tensão (v) 117 A 133 Contratada(v) Limite adequados da tensão (v) 117 A 133 Contratada(v) Antual Contratada(v) Antual Antual Periodo Periodo Detalhamento da Cc:te CCI* Descritareo Qide Tarifa Valor Base Calc. Al 12, 00% do Produto Formet. Formet. Formet. Consumo ruso 100 Jano Valor Valo	Alternation Débito Aut. Alternation Dias do Periodo 28 Composido Formadorna International 28 F. Potência Medilo Composido Formadorna International 28 F. Potência Medilo Composido Formadorna 28 F. Potência Medilo Composido Formadorna 28 <tr< td=""></tr<>
Ttem Anterior Litburg Anterior Dias do Compsiello do 1 CONSUMO 39.322 30469 Anterior Dias do Dias do <td< th=""><th>1133 AG:20:67 Dias do Periodo 28 Corruptsición do Foorsectario Reergia 35, 1018:1016;08 28 Distribuição 35, 1018:1016;08 35, 1018:1016;08 28 Transmissão 8, Perdias 6, 6, ncargos 13, 16:1017 cc. Aliq. Valor Valor Valor Tot 1CMS (formec+im 57 12,00% 5,34 42, 26 12,00% 6,39 50, 36 12,00% 6,28 19 9,82% 0,00 112,01 112, 12,01 112,</th></td<>	1133 AG:20:67 Dias do Periodo 28 Corruptsición do Foorsectario Reergia 35, 1018:1016;08 28 Distribuição 35, 1018:1016;08 35, 1018:1016;08 28 Transmissão 8, Perdias 6, 6, ncargos 13, 16:1017 cc. Aliq. Valor Valor Valor Tot 1CMS (formec+im 57 12,00% 5,34 42, 26 12,00% 6,39 50, 36 12,00% 6,28 19 9,82% 0,00 112,01 112, 12,01 112,
Anterior Atal Composition do 1 CONSUMO 39322 39469 Anterior Diss do Periodo 26/01/21 28 16/01/21 28 Detailnamento da Cc. ita Atali F. Potència CCI* Descrices Qtde Tarifs Valor do Produto former. Former. Imposto COI* Descrices Qtde Tarifs Valor do Produto former. Former. Imposto 6601 CONSUMO TUSD 147.0,252880 37/17 44,57 12,00% 6601 AD.8.AMAR. 147.0,013461 1,97 2,36 12,00% 6,39 6699 VALOR DO CDFINS 180,19 3,80% 669 12,90% 6,28 6699 COBRANCA ILUM PUBLICA PARA A PREFEITURA 0,00 9,00 0,00 669 COBRANCA ILUM PUBLICA PARA A PREFEITURA 0,00 9,00 0,00	Composição do Forrectme Energis 28 F. Potência Médio C. Aliq. Valor Valor Tot to Imposto ICMS (fornec+im 57 12,00% 5,34 42, 26 12,00% 6,39 50, 36 12,00% 0,28 2, 19 3,30% 0,00 11, 12,01 112,01
Detailsamento da Co:te Valor	Distributição 35. Distributição 36. Distributição 20. Periodo 10 Periodo 11 Periodo 13. Periodo 14. Valor Valor Tot 15. 12.00% 5.34 42. 12.00% 6.39 50. 36 12.00% 0.28 2. 19 3.80% 3. 19 0.82% 0.08 11. 12.01 112. 112. 112.
26/01/21 28 Transmisso Atual F. Potència Perdes Atual F. Potència Perdes Detalhamento da Cc.tta Atual F. Potència CCI* Descriceo Qtde Tarifa Valor do Produto Formec. Imposto Imposto 6601 CONSUMO TE 147.0,252880 37,17 44,57 12,00% 5,34 0601 CONSUMO TUSD 147.0,302170 44,41 53,265 12,00% 6,39 0601 AD.8.4MAR. 147.0,013421 1,97 2,36 12,00% 6,28 0699 VALOR DO CPIN5 100,19 3,80% 0699 VALOR DO CPIN5 100,19 3,82% 0699 OCERANCA ILLM* PUBLICA PARA A PREFEITURA 0,00 0,00% 0,00 12,01	28 Transmission 3, F. Potencia Medico 1 Medico 1 1 Transmission 3, 1 Precise 6, 0, 0, Transmission 13, 16, 0, Medico 11, 16, 16, 16, AS [1.5.107, AS [1.5.107, 16, 16, 16, C. Aliq. Valor Valor Tot 16, 16, 16, 16, C. Aliq. Valor Valor Tot 16,
Atual F. Potencia Perchas Detalhamento da Cc ita 23/02/21 Potencia Perchas Detalhamento da Cc ita As [3] As [3] As [3] CCI* Descriceo Qtde Tarifs Valor Base Calc. Aliq. Valor Valor Base Calc. Aliq. do Produto Fornec. Imposto Imposto ICMS (fc G601 CONSUMO TE 147.0.2302170 44.41 53.26 12.00% 5.34 0601 AD.B.AMAR. 147.0.01302170 44.41 53.26 12.00% 5.34 0601 AD.B.AMAR. 147.0.01302170 44.41 53.26 12.00% 6.28 0690 VALOR DO CDENIS 100.19 3.80% 0.28 0.90 0.28 0699 VALOR DO CDENIS 100.19 0.82% 0.00 0.00 0.00 0699 VALOR DO CDENIS 100.21% 100.21% 12.01 0.00 0.00 0699 VALOR DO COENIS 100.21% 100.21% 100.21% 0.00 0.00 0699 VALOR DO COENIS 100.21% 0.00 0.00	Protencia Perdase 0, Encargos 13, 16, Pardas 0, Encargos 13, 16, Aliq. Valor Valor Tot AS [1.5.107, C. Aliq. Valor Valor Tot to Imposto ICMS (formectim 5, 39, 50, 34, 42, 26, 12,00%, 6,39, 50, 36, 12,00%, 0,28, 2, 19, 3,30%, 3, 19, 0,82%, 0,00 3, 19, 0,82%, 0, 12,01 00 0,00%, 0,08, 11, 12,01 112,
Detailhamento da Cc. ta AS [] CCI* Descriceo Qtde Tarifa Valor Base Calc. Aliq. Valor	Encarges 11 Tributos 16 AS [1.5.107. 16 C. Aliq. Valor Valor Tot 105 to Imposto ICNS (formec-Im 57 12,00% 5,34 42, 26 12,00% 6,39 50, 36 19 3,80% 3,1 19 3,80% 3,1 19 0,82% 0,00 11, 12,01 112,
Detalhamento da Cc.ita AS [] CCI* Descriceo Qtde Tarifa Valor Base Calc. Aliq. Valor Valor Valor Science Construction Constience	AS [1,5,107.] AS [1,5,107.] c. Aliq. Valor Valor Tot to Imposto ICMS (fornec+Im 57 12,00% 5,34 42, 26 12,00% 0,28 2, 19 3,80% 0,28 2, 19 0,82% 0, 0, 00 0,00% 0,00 11, 12,01 112,01 112,
AS [] CCI* Describes AS [] CCI* Describes Qtde Tarifa Valor Base Calc. Aliq. Valor Valor Valor Valor Porte de Produto Formec. Imposto Imposto Imposto ICMS (fe Gédi CONSUMO TE 147 0,252880 37,17 44,57 12,00% 5,34 Gédi CONSUMO TUSD 147 0,302170 44,41 5,26 12,00% 6,39 Gédi AD.8.AMAR. 147 0,013401 1,97 2,36 12,00% 0,28 Gége Valor Do COFIDS 100,19 3,80% Gége COBRANCA ILLE PARA A PREFEITURA 0,00 0,00% Otal da fatura S3,55 IZ 01	AS [1.5.107. c. Aliq. Valor Valor Tot Imposto ICNS (fornectim 57 12,00% 5,34 42, 26 12,00% 6,39 50, 36 12,00% 0,28 2, 19 3,30% 3, 19 0,82% 0,08 11, 12,01 112,
CC1* Descrice Other Farnes Valor Base Calc. Alig. Valor V. do Produto Fornest. Fornest. Imposto IDMS (fo 6601 CONSUMO TE 147 0,252880 37,17 44,57 12,00% 5,34 0601 CONSUMO TES 147 0,232820 37,17 44,57 12,00% 6,39 0601 AD.8.AMAR. 147 0,013401 1,97 2,36 12,00% 0,28 0699 VALOR DO COFINS 100,19 3,30% 100,19 3,32% 0,00 0699 COBRANCA ILL™ PUBLICA PARA A PREFEITURA 0,00 0,00% 0,00 0,00% 0,00	c. Aliq. Valor Valor Total to Imposto ICMS (former+Im 57 12,00% 5,34 42,1 26 12,00% 5,39 50,1 36 12,00% 0,28 2,1 19 3,80% 3,2 0,2 19 0,82% 0,0 11,5 00 0,00% 0,00 11,5
e661 CONSUMO TE 147 0.752880 37.17 44,57 12.00% 5.34 0601 CONSUMO TUSD 147 0.302170 41,41 53.26 12.00% 5.34 0601 CONSUMO TUSD 147 0.302170 41,41 53.26 12.00% 6.39 0601 AD.B.AMAR. 147 0.013401 1.97 2.36 12.00% 0.28 0699 VALOR DO COFINS 100,19 3.80% 100,19 3.80% 0699 COBRANCA ILLM PUBLICA PARA A PREFEITURA 0.00 0.00% 0.00 100110 6.32% 12.01 12.01	10 10,00% 5,34 42, 26 12,00% 5,39 50, 19 3,80% 0,28 2, 19 3,80% 3,1 9,92% 0,1 00 0,90% 0,90 11,0 112,01
0601 CONSUMO TUSD 147 0,302170 44,41 53,26 12,00% 6,39 0601 AD.B.AMAR. 147 0,013401 1,97 2,36 12,00% 0,28 0609 VALOR DD COFINS 100,19 3,80% 0699 VALOR DD PIS 100,19 0,80% 0699 COBRANCA ILUM PUBLICA PARA A PREFEITURA 0,00 0,00% 0,00 Total da fatura 53,55 12,01 12,01	26 12,00% 6,39 50, 36 12,00% 0,28 2, 19 3,80% 3,1 19 0,82% 0, 00 0,80% 0,00 12,01 112,
06091 AD.B.AMAR. 147 0,013401 1,97 2,36 12,00% 0,28 0699 VALOR DO COFINS 100,19 3,80% 100,19 3,80% 0699 VALOR DO PIS 100,19 0,82% 000,19 0,82% 0699 COBRANCA ILLM PUBLICA PARA A PREFEITURA 0,00 0,00% 0,00 Total da fatura 53,55 12,01	36 12,00% 0,28 2,1 19 3,80% 3,1 19 0,80% 0,0 19 0,82% 0,1 00 0,00% 0,00 11,1 12,01 112,5
0699 VALUA DO CUFINS 100,19 0,32% 0699 COBRANCA ILLM PUBLICA PARA A PREFEITURA 0,00 0,00% 0,00 Total da fatura 53,55 12,01	19 3,30% 3,1 19 0,82% 0,0 00 0,80% 0,00 11, 12,01 112,
0699 COBRANCA ILUM PUBLICA PARA A PREFEITURA 0,00 0,00% 0,00 Total da fatura 83,55 12.01	00 0,00% 0,00 11,0 12,01 112,0
Total da fatura 83,55 12,01	12,01 112,
*CCI - Codigo Classificac#o do Item	

Figure 9. Elektro energy bill of the residence





Figure 10. Consumption values referring to previous months (Elektro energy bill).

In both systems they will be connected to the grid (On-grid), for this reason it is not necessary to implement a battery bank for the storage of excess electricity not consumed, this excess energy being exported to the electricity grid itself and used in periods when energy production is zero. In this way, making the project much more advantageous, as batteries have a high cost.

The methods used to obtain the calculation of the sizing of the quantity of solar panels and inverters will be shown below:

The Monthly Consumption (kWh) is equal to the sum of all 12 months present on the bill and consequently equal to the annual consumption, equation 1 indicated below:

(Eq.1)Consumo Anual(kWh/ano) = Consumo mes(kWh)

The average monthly consumption (kWh/year) is the annual consumption divided by 12 months, which was the period considered, equation 2:

 $(Eq.2)Consumo \ médio \ mensal(kWh/mês) = \frac{Consumo \ Anual(kWh/ano)}{12 \ meses}$



Daily consumption (kWh/day) is the result of the average monthly consumption divided by the average of the total days of the 12 months, which is 30 days.

 $(Eq.3)Consumo \ diário(kWh/dia) = \frac{Consumo \ médio \ mensal(kWh/mes)}{30 \ dias}$

Peak power (kW/Peak) is given by the average daily household consumption divided by the average daily irradiation, expressed in equation 4 below:

 $(Eq.4) Potência de pico(kW/Pico) = \frac{Consumo diário(kWh/dia)}{Média de irradiação por dia(kWh/m².dia)}$

After the formulas and other necessary parameters, the Excel spreadsheet was assembled based on CRESESB data and the equations presented. The first step in assembling the spreadsheet was the construction of table 4 with the survey of household consumption.

	ruste n.survey	or electricity	consumption auta (E	
1				
2	Levantamento de da	dos do co	nsumo de energia o	eletrica
3	Mês	Consumo [kWh]	Energia mínima disponivel por mês [kWh]	Consumo considerave I [kWh]
4	Jan	148	50	98
5	Fev	174	50	124
6	Mar	203	50	153
7	Abr Mai	176 165	50 50	126 115
9	Jun	179	50	129
10	Jul	190	50	140
11	Ago	150	50	100
12	Set	161	50	111
13	Out	184	50	134
14	Nov	166	50	116
15	Dez	159	50	109
16	Média	171,25	-	121,25
17			Consumo diário médio anual [kWh/dia](considerando mês 30 dias)	5,708333333

Table 4. Survey of electricity consumption data (Excel)

(Eq.4) will be used to obtain the peak power of the system, together with the variable of the average annual daily consumption that was presented in table 4 and the lowest solar daily irradiation index of the year, in figure 6, identified in the line of the angle equal to latitude. With this will occur an addition, which is 25% in relation to the peak power of the photovoltaic solar sizing, a safety measure used in any solar project to have a margin in case something occurs in a way that was not initially foreseen. Table 5 shows the peak power, which will be the demand of the system.



kW/pico 1,74968071	5 [kW/p]
Em Watts 1749,68071	5 [W/p]

Table 5. Peak Project Power(Excel)

The monofacial sizing was carried out in the Excel spreadsheet, with the equipment presented above, 4 555W Half-cell modules from Sunova and a Growatt 2kW inverter will be used for the construction of the experimental photovoltaic solar project.

System 1, which is bifacial sizing, being the best option, was prepared in the Excel spreadsheet, with the models of bifacial panels, presented in figure 2 above, 4 bifacial modules of 575W Half-cell from Sine Energy and an inverter from Growatt 2kW will be used for the construction of the experimental solar photovoltaic project.

Table 6 shows the values of the materials used in the implementation of the photovoltaic panels, including the structure and the panels themselves.

Painéis Fotovoltaicos	Sistema 1 / 575 W (Bifacial)	Sistema 2 / 555W (Monofacial)	
Quantidade de Painéis Fotovoltaicos necessários	3,042922983	3,888179367	Placas
Quantidade de Painéis considerados	4	4	Placas
Potência do sistema/dia	2,3	2,22	kW/dia de Geração
Preço do Placas	R\$ 4.234,40	R\$ 3.692,00	R\$
Preço de Instalação	R\$ 1.000,00	R\$ 1.000,00	R\$
Preço Total do Sistema(Painéis)	R\$ 5.234,40	R\$ 4.692,00	R\$

 Table 6. Installation Values Monofacial PV Modules (Excel)

In relation to the inverter chosen for the monofacial and bifacial systems, it will be the same, for a better comparison between both and because the difference in the power of the set of panels of both systems, despite the bifacial system having a higher production value, are within the value allowed by the 2 kW inverter, which reaches a maximum power of up to 2.8kW, according to data from Growatt's datasheet. The final estimated price of the structure is composed of the structures of the panels for the fiber cement roof, cables and the circuit breakers responsible for the safety of the system, and will be the same cost for both projects.



8			_	1		
Inversores	Sis (E	stema 1 / 2kW Bifacial)	S (N	istema 2 / 2kW Aonofacial)		
Quantidade de Inversores necessários	0,	874840358		0,874840358	Inve	sores
Quantidade de Inversores considerados		1		1	Inve	sores
Potência do sistema		2		2	k	W
Preço do Invesores	R\$	2.449,00	R\$	2.449,00	F	R\$
Preço de Instalação	R\$	500,00	R\$	500,00	F	R\$
Preço Total do Sistema(Inversores)	R\$	2.949,00	R\$	2.949,00	F	R\$
Preço de Materiais(Estrutura)	R\$	1.500,00	R\$	1.500,00	F	۲\$

Table 7. Value referring to the number of inverters and price of the structure (Excel)

Soon after, with the sum of the prices of panels with the installations, together with the inverter and the rest of the materials (structure), we obtained the value of the monofacial project (system 2) without profit, which is 9,141.00 reais (R\$), where the estimated profit on the project would be 25%, as it is a small project. However, on top of this amount, the 4% of taxes on the assembled system will be deducted, achieving a net profit of R\$ 1,828.20. The value of this project considering all charges and the amount that will be paid by the client is R\$ 11,426.25. All the data of this system with monofacial panels are in table 8 below.

		v System v	aruc	, i ioin, i	тал ана т ау	Dack(LACC	1)	
¢° ≤ +	TCC - (Bifacial)Cálcu	lo de Dimensionamento de		a Fotovoltaico Reside		We	lington Souza 🔒 💷	
igina Inicial Inserir I	ayout da Página Fórmulas Dados Revisão Exibir Ajuda	Diga-me o que você d	eseja fazer					
Calibri N I S ~ []	$\begin{array}{c c} & & & \\ \hline 11 & & & \\ \hline 1 & & \\ \hline 2 & & \\ 2 & & \\ \hline 2 & & \\ \hline 2 & & \\ \hline 2 & & \\ 2 & & \\ \hline 2 & & \\ 2 & & \\ \hline 2 & & \\ 2 $	iicamente ∽ ⊊ ~ % ∞ ™ Númer	0 3 8 4	Formatação Fo Condicional ~	ormatar como Estilos de Tabela ~ Célula ~ Estilos	Inserir Excluir Formatar Células	∑ → ŽT ↓ Classificar Localizar e e Filtrar → Selecionar → Edição	• Suplementos Suplementos
• I × ✓ J	\$							
Р	Q	R		S	Т	U	V	W
	Preço Sem Lucro		R\$	9.141,00	٩	۲\$		
	Lucro Bruto(25% do Preço Sem Lucro)		R\$	2.285,25	F	R\$		
	Preço Sistema Total		R\$	11.426,25	R\$			
	Lucro Líquido Projeto		R\$	1.828,20	F	2\$		
	PayBack			5,584022402	Ar	nos		
	Imposto		R\$	457,05	4% - sobre o	valor final do s	istema	

Table 8. Monofacial PV System Value, Profit, Tax and Payback(Excel)

Subsequently, with the sum of the value of bifacial modules with the installations, together with the inverter and the rest of the materials (structure), with this we have the value of



the bifacial project without profit, R\$ 9,683.40. In this way, the estimated profit on top of the project would be 25%, where on top of this value will be deducted the 4% taxes on the system after assembly, concluding with a net profit of R\$ 1,936.20. The value of this project considering all charges and the amount that will be paid by the client is R\$ 12,104.25, with a difference in the final cost of the project of R\$ 678.00, when compared to the monofacial system, being below the cost of the benefit that the photovoltaic bifacial system will provide. Table 9 contains the data on the values of the bifacial design.

C ² · · • TCC - (Bifacial)Cálcul	lo de Dimens	iionamento de ur	n Sistema Fotovoltaico Reside		We	lington Souza 尾 📧	
lgina Inicial Inserir Layout da Página Fórmulas Dados Revisão Exibir Ajuda	🖓 Diga-m	e o que você des	eja fazer	<u> </u>			
Calibri $11 \rightarrow A^{*} A^{*}$ $\equiv \equiv \equiv 2 \rightarrow 2$ 2° Quebrar Texto Automat N I $5 \rightarrow 2^{\circ} \rightarrow 2^{\circ}$ $5 \equiv \equiv 2^{\circ}$ 3° $5 \equiv 2^{\circ}$ $5 = 5 = 2^{\circ}$ Forte $6 = 2^{\circ}$ 10° 10° 10° $5 = 2^{\circ}$ Forte $6 = 2^{\circ}$ 10° 10° 10°	icamente ,	Geral Geral Vúmero	* [™] [™] [™] [™] [™] [™] [™] [™]	ormatar como Estilos de Tabela ~ Célula ~ Estilos	Excluir Formatar Células	∑ ✓ Ž ▼ Classificar Localizar e e Filtrar ∨ Selecionar ∨ Edição	Suplementos Suplementos
- I × √ βr		D	s	т		V	W
		N.	<u>,</u>		0		
Preço Sem Lucro	R\$	9.683,40			R\$		
Lucro Bruto(25% do Preço Sem Lucro)	R\$	2.420,85			R\$		
Preço Sistema Total	R\$ 1	2.104,25		R\$			
Lucro Líquido Projeto	R\$	1.936,68			R\$		
Com Maior Valor do mês							
PayBack	5,	915361834		A	nos		
				1			
Imposto	R\$	484,17		4% - sobre	o valor final do s	istema	

Table 9. Bifacial I	PV System	Value,	Profit,	Tax	and F	ayback (Excel)
---------------------	-----------	--------	---------	-----	-------	----------	-------	---

As a result, for the calculation of Payback, the value of 0.84 R\$/kWh was used with the charges already considered, because at the time of the invoice we had a scenario of tariff increase due to the flags, being a value slightly higher than the current cost, which would be 0.754 R\$/kW according to data from NEOENERGIA [2023]. With this scenario considered, the value of 5.915 years was reached for the return on investment in the implementation of the bifacial panels and the time of 5.584 years for the system of common modules.

In the scenario of the bifacial system, there will be an addition in the production of the panel due to the lower face, in view of this the panel will produce in general at least 12.5% more of its nominal power, and this number of generation from the back of the panel is limited due to the wall on the side of the roof (figure 7), which makes it impossible to produce in the infra part of the project in the afternoon, losing 6 hours of full sun on this side. If there were no such limitation in the case of this installation, this value could reach 25% of addition to the overall energy production, when compared to the system with monofacial modules. With this installation



there is a possibility of exponentially increasing energy production in relation to monofacial panels on cloudy days, where there are few direct rays and many reflected rays from the sun, and can reach more than 40% of production on cloudy days, being another huge advantage compared to a photovoltaic system with monofacial solar panels.

In analogy with the monofacial module, the bifacial can have a useful life of 5 years longer than its competitor, and can reach up to 30 years of use. The degradation index is different between the two panel models and can be observed graphically. Light-induced degradation (LID) starts at 2% and annual power degradation of 0.45% in bifacial panels and 0.55% in monofacials, that is, bifacials degrade less over time and have a higher efficiency, due to the glass at the bottom [CANASOLAR, 2022].

FINAL CONSIDERATIONS

The implementation of a photovoltaic solar project in a home is a promising alternative for the customer, as it obtains the long-awaited minimum energy bill, paying only the charges of electricity distribution and saving a large part of the value in the residential electricity bill. We have more than 70 million homes without a photovoltaic solar energy project, data on the number of houses in Brazil obtained from [IBGE, 2022]. According to G1 data, more than 1.1 million homes already have solar energy on their roofs, with a large number of houses for the implementation of new solar photovoltaic projects [BRASIL, 2023].

Consequently, it would be interesting to obtain a higher yield for the implementation of solar energy in homes, with a better use of the available space, generating more energy with a smaller occupied space, and the differential, with few changes, was shown in this theoretical analysis, that few structural changes are necessary in the implementation of bifacial photovoltaic systems, in other words, a great alternative to improve the project's electricity productivity. The bifacial solar system obtained the advantage of having a higher power compared to monofacial panels, this is due to the production of energy by the lower face, and can be even greater, if the project when assembled does not have a wall that impairs the reflection of the sun in the afternoon, which is the case of this system, which loses 6 hours of full sun at the bottom.

The installation of the bifacial system in this study has a cost of R\$ 12,104.25, referring to the total value of the investment for the project, with all the charges involved. The amount can be financed, to be paid with an amount close to that of the energy bill. The difference when compared to the monofacial photovoltaic system has a value of R\$ 678.00 higher, and this increase is compensatory, taking into account the higher return on energy production. Payback is



slightly higher, 3 months, due to the final price of the project with bifacial panels of 5.9 years and 5.6 years for single-sided panels. This difference is irrelevant when comparing production gains.



REFERENCES

- ABSOLAR. (2023). Panorama da solar fotovoltaica no Brasil e no mundo. Retrieved from https://www.absolar.org.br/mercado/infografico/. Accessed on September 30, 2023.
- Aldo Solar. (2023). Inversor solar fotovoltaico on grid Growatt. Retrieved from https://www.aldo.com.br/produto/68208-4/inversor-solar-fotovoltaico-on-grid-growattmic2000tl-x-2kw-monofasico-220v-1mppt-monitoramento. Accessed on July 31, 2023.
- Pereira, J. (2023, March 31). Brasil tem 1,1 milhão de residências com telhado solar; São Paulo lidera lista: Veja o ranking dos dez estados com maior número de casas que utilizam este tipo de energia no país. G1. Retrieved from https://umsoplaneta.globo.com/energia/noticia/2023/03/31/brasil-tem-11-milhao-deresidencias-com-telhado-solar-sao-paulo-lidera-lista.ghtml. Accessed on October 8, 2023.
- Canal Solar. (2022, June 21). Diferença entre módulos bifaciais e monofaciais: Além das diferenças estruturais, existe a distinção da durabilidade. Retrieved from https://canalsolar.com.br/diferenca-entre-modulos-bifaciais-e-monofaciais/. Accessed on October 16, 2023.
- Canal Solar. (2023, May 7). Entenda os módulos fotovoltaicos bifaciais. Retrieved from https://canalsolar.com.br/modulos-fotovoltaicosbifaciais/. Accessed on May 7, 2023.

CRESESB, Centro de Referência para as Energias Solar e Eólica Sérgio de S. Brito. (2023).

- Energy Information Administration (EIA). (2023). What is U.S. electricity generation by energy source? Retrieved from https://www.eia.gov/tools/faqs/faq.php?id=427&t=3#:~:text=Solar%20(total),3.4%25. Accessed on July 7, 2023.
- Canal Solar. (2023, August 17). Energia solar ultrapassa 33 GW de capacidade operacional. Retrieved from https://canalsolar.com.br/energia-solar-ultrapassa-33-gw-de-capacidadeoperacional-no-brasil/. Accessed on October 6, 2023.
- Empresa de Pesquisa Energética (EPE). (2020). Caderno sobre ações de eficiência energética em indústrias brasileiras.
- Frontin, S. de O. (2017). Usina fotovoltaica Jaíba Solar: Planejamento e engenharia. Teixeira Gráfica e Editora Ltda.
- Glow Solar. (2021, February 3). O que é micro e minigeração distribuída. Retrieved from https://glowsolar.com.br/noticias/o-que-e-micro-e-minigeracao-distribuida. Accessed on July 7, 2023.
- Governo. (2022, January 6). Lei nº 14.300, de 6 de janeiro de 2022. Retrieved from https://in.gov.br/en/web/dou/-/lei-n-14.300-de-6-de-janeiro-de-2022-372467821. Accessed on August 11, 2023.
- GreenYellow. (2023, April 25). Energia solar no mundo: saiba quais os maiores países. Retrieved from https://www.greenyellow.com.br/blog/energia-solar-no-mundo-saiba-quais-os-maiores-paises/. Accessed on July 5, 2023.



- Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (IBGE). (2022). Domicílios brasileiros. Retrieved from https://educa.ibge.gov.br/jovens/conheca-o-brasil/populacao/21130-domicilios-brasileiros.html#:~:text=Segundo%20os%20resultados%20da%20PNAD,(11%20milh%C3% B5es)%20eram%20apartamentos. Accessed on October 9, 2023.
- Lira, A. G. de. (2022). Análise comparativa entre módulos solares fotovoltaicos dos tipos monocristalino half-cell, bifacial e PERC, aplicação de estudo de caso em uma usina de minigeração.
- Luz Solar. (2021). Como funciona o sistema fotovoltaico? Retrieved from https://luzsolar.com.br/como-funciona-o-sistema-fotovoltaico/. Accessed on August 8, 2023.
- Medeiros Filho, R. M. de. (2021). Projeto e implantação de usina solar fotovoltaica com módulos de tecnologia bifacial.
- Nacional Energia Sustentável. (2023). Retrieved from https://www.nacionalenergia.com/kitsolar. Accessed on July 11, 2023.
- Neoenergia. (2023, August 22). Tarifas de energia elétrica. Retrieved from https://www.neoenergia.com/documents/d/sp/neoenergia-elektro-tarifas-energia-eletricagrupo-b. Accessed on October 9, 2023.
- NeoSolar. (2023). Sistemas de energia solar fotovoltaica e seus componentes. Retrieved from https://www.neosolar.com.br/aprenda/saiba-mais/sistemas-de-energia-solar-fotovoltaica-e-seus-componentes. Accessed on July 18, 2023.
- Pereira, O. L. S., & Gonçalves, F. F. (2008). Dimensionamento de inversores para sistemas fotovoltaicos conectados à rede elétrica: Estudo de caso do sistema de Tubarão-SC. Revista Brasileira de Energia, 14(1), 25-45.
- PV Magazine. (2023, January 3). China aims to add 160 GW of wind, solar capacity in 2023. Retrieved from https://www.pv-magazine.com/2023/01/03/china-aims-to-add-160-gw-ofwind-solar-in-2023/#:~:text=The%20NEA%20said%20that%20if%20China%20reaches%20its%202023% 20installation%20target%20of%20160%20GW%20of%20wind%20and%20solar%2C%20it %20would%20represent%20year%2Don%2Dyear%20growth%20of%2033%25.%20It%20a dded%20that%20it%20expects%20the%20country%27s%20cumulative%20solar%20capacit y%20to%20reach%20490%20GW%20by%20the%20end%20of%202023%2C%20including %20430%20GW%20of%20wind%20power. Accessed on July 7, 2023.
- Santander. (2023). Entenda como funciona a energia solar. Retrieved from https://www.santander.com.br/hotsite/santanderfinanciamentos/energia-solar-fotovoltaica.html. Accessed on July 7, 2023.
- Villalva, M. G. (2015). Energia solar fotovoltaica: Conceitos e aplicação: Sistemas isolados e conectados à rede (2nd ed.). Érica. ISBN 978-85-365-1489-5.